arXiv:1406.4034v1 [math.RT] 16 Jun 2014

ON JACOBIAN ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ONCE-PUNCTURED TORUS

CHARLOTTE RICKE

Abstract. We consider two non-degenerate potentials for the quiver arising from the once-punctured torus, which are a natural choice to study and compare: the first is the Labardini-potential, yielding a finite-dimensional Jacobian algebra, whereas the second potential gives rise to an infinite dimensional Jacobian algebra. In this paper we determine the graph of strongly reduced components for both Jacobian algebras. Our main result is that the graph is connected in both cases. Plamondon parametrized the strongly reduced components for finite-dimensional algebras using generic **g**-vectors. We prove that the generic **g**-vectors of indecomposable strongly reduced components of the finite-dimensional Jacobian algebra are precisely the universal geometric coefficients for the once-punctured torus, which were determined by Reading.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Background 3
3. Classification of indecomposable strongly reduced components 9
4. The graph of strongly reduced components is connected 21
5. Component clusters 28
6. The **g**-vectors 29
7. The finite-dimensional Jacobian algebra 30
8. Markov Conjecture 33
References 36

1. Introduction

Cluster algebras were first introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky in [FZ1] in 2002. One of the main problems in cluster algebra theory is to find a basis of a cluster algebra with favourable properties. It is conjectured [CLS, Conjecture 1.1.] that the indecomposable strongly reduced components of Jacobian algebras parametrize such a basis of the corresponding Caldero-Chapoton algebra which sits between the cluster algebra and the upper cluster algebra [CLS, Proposition 7.1.].

Let $A = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q\rangle\rangle/I$ be a (possibly infinite dimensional) basic algebra. In [CLS] the authors generalise the Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky E-invariant $E_A(-,?)$ and the notion of strongly reduced components to arbitrary basic algebras. Denote by $\mathrm{decIrr}(A)$ the set of irreducible components of the varieties of finite-dimensional (decorated) representations of A and let $Z_1, \ldots, Z_t$ be in $\mathrm{decIrr}(A)$. Then by [CLS, Theorem 5.11.] the Zariski closure $\overline{Z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_t}$ is a strongly reduced irreducible component if and only if each Z_i is strongly reduced and the generic E-invariant $E_A(Z_i, Z_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

Date: 16th June 2014.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13F60; Secondary 16G20.

Denote by $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}\cdot}(A)$ the subset of $\mathrm{decIrr}(A)$ consisting of the strongly reduced components. The graph $\Gamma(A) = \Gamma(\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}\cdot}(A))$ of strongly reduced components has as vertices the indecomposable components in $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}\cdot}(A)$, and there is an edge between -possibly equal- vertices Z_1 and Z_2 if $E_A(Z_1, Z_2) = E_A(Z_2, Z_1) = 0$. A *component cluster* of A is the set of vertices $\mathcal{U} \subset \Gamma(A)_0$ of a maximal complete subgraph of $\Gamma(A)$. A component cluster $\mathcal{U}$ of A is *E_A-rigid* provided that each $Z \in \mathcal{U}$ is E_A-rigid, i.e. if we have $E_A(Z) = 0$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{U}$. The idea behind these definitions is that the Caldero-Chapoton-functions associated with a component cluster are a generalization of the clusters of a cluster algebra.

Now, let Q be the quiver



associated with the once-punctured torus also known as the Markov quiver. There are several aspects which make this quiver an exceptional and much-studied example. For instance, the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_Q$ is properly contained in the upper cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_Q^{\mathrm{up}}$ ([BFZ, Proposition 1.26]). Both the cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_Q$ and the Jacobian algebras arising from Q constitute counterexamples to many conjectures. Still, in particular its Jacobian algebras are not well understood, yet. Consider the two non-degenerate potentials

$$W := \gamma_1\beta_1\alpha_1 + \gamma_2\beta_2\alpha_2$$
$$W' := \gamma_1\beta_1\alpha_1 + \gamma_2\beta_2\alpha_2 - \gamma_2\beta_1\alpha_2\gamma_1\beta_2\alpha_1$$

yielding one infinite dimensional Jacobian algebra $\Lambda = \mathcal{P}(Q, W)$ [DWZ1, Example 8.6] and one finite-dimensional Jacobian algebra $\Lambda' = \mathcal{P}(Q, W')$ [La, Example 8.2]. Recently Geuenich [G] proved that there are infinitely many non-degenerate potentials for Q up to right equivalence. Among these, W and W' are a natural choice to study and compare. In this work we study the strongly reduced components of the module varieties of Λ and Λ'. Our main tool is the truncation of basic algebras, which was introduced in [CLS]. The truncation of Λ allows us to consider Λ as a finite-dimensional string algebra. Thus we can use the purely combinatorial description of the module categories of string algebras. Note that both algebras are tame: Λ is gentle and Λ' is tame by [GLaS, Example 6.5.3].

Our first result is closely connected to τ-tilting theory, which was recently introduced by Adachi, Iyama and Reiten, for finite-dimensional basic algebras. They show that τ-tilting theory completes classical tilting theory from the viewpoint of mutation. However, their result does not hold for infinite dimensional algebras in general (see [CLS, Example 9.3.1.]). We show that for Λ the mutation of support τ_Λ^{-1}-tilting modules, which in fact are E_Λ-rigid decorated representations, is always possible and unique.

Proposition 1.1. *If Z_1, Z_2 are indecomposable E_Λ-rigid components in $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}\cdot}(\Lambda)$ (resp. in $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}\cdot}(\Lambda')$) that are neighbours in $\Gamma := \Gamma(\Lambda)$ (resp. in $\Gamma' := \Gamma(\Lambda')$), then there exist precisely two different indecomposable E_Λ-rigid components $Z_3, Z_3' \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}\cdot}(\Lambda)$ (resp. in $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}\cdot}(\Lambda')$) such that $\{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3\}$ and $\{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3'\}$ are E_Λ-rigid component clusters.*

Our main result is the connectedness of the graph of strongly reduced components for both algebras. It follows from results in [P] that the full subgraph of $\Gamma' = \Gamma(\Lambda')$ on the $E_{\Lambda'}$-rigid components consists of at least two components. Thus the connectedness of Γ' is particularly surprising.

Theorem 1.2. *(i) The graph Γ is connected. The full subgraph on the E_Λ-rigid components is also connected.*

(ii) The graph Γ' is connected. The graph Γ is a full subgraph of Γ'. The full subgraph of Γ' on the $E_{\Lambda'}$-rigid components consists of two isomorphic components.

For $A = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q\rangle\rangle/I$ let n be the number of vertices of Q or equivalently the number of simple A-modules. Cerulli, Labardini and Schröer conjecture that every component cluster has at most cardinality n and that the E_A-rigid component clusters are precisely the component clusters of cardinality n. We show, that this is true for both Jacobian algebras associated with the once-punctured torus.

Theorem 1.3. *There is a bijection between the E_Λ-rigid indecomposable components $Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ and the non E_Λ-rigid indecomposable components $Z' \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$, such that if Z and Z' are identified under this bijection then $\{Z, Z'\}$ is a component cluster and these are all non E_Λ-rigid component clusters.*

Corollary 1.4. *(i) The E_Λ-rigid component clusters of Λ (respectively of Λ') are exactly the component clusters of cardinality 3.*

(ii) The non E_Λ-rigid component clusters of Λ (respectively of Λ') are exactly the component clusters of cardinality 2.

Let $Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ be a strongly reduced component. Then it is easy to see that Z is also a strongly reduced component of Λ'. If Z is E_Λ-rigid then it is also $E_{\Lambda'}$-rigid. Using a symmetry of the Auslander-Reiten translate $\tau_{\Lambda'}$ we see that there exists a unique $E_{\Lambda'}$-rigid component $\tau_{\Lambda'}Z$. Furthermore, using the **g**-vectors we will prove that all $E_{\Lambda'}$-rigid components arise in that manner. The following figure shows a full subgraph in Γ', where we left out the loops at each vertex. The full subgraph on the vertices Z_i and Z_i' for $i = 1, 2, 3$ is a full subgraph of Γ.



The paper is organised as follows: In Section 2 we give a quick overview on the background of Caldero-Chapoton algebras, strongly reduced components and string algebras. In Sections 3-6 we make all the computations to prove our results on the infinite dimensional basic algebra Λ: Section 3 contains a detailed classification of strings and bands corresponding to indecomposable strongly reduced components. In Section 4 we explicitly describe all neighbours of a fixed vertex in the graph of strongly reduced components and in Section 5 we describe its component clusters. The generic **g**-vectors are computed in Section 6. Then, in Section 7 we deduce our results for the finite-dimensional algebra Λ'. In this context we see that the generic **g**-vectors of indecomposable strongly reduced components of the finite-dimensional Jacobian algebra are precisely the universal geometric coefficients for the once-punctured torus. In section 8 we mention a connection between our work and the Markov Conjecture.

2. Background

2.1. **Caldero-Chapoton algebras.** In [FZ4] Fomin and Zelevinsky showed that the structure of cluster algebras is strongly influenced by a family of integer vectors called

g-*vectors*, and a family of integer polynomials called *F-polynomials*. For a major class of cluster algebras Derksen, Weyman and Zelevinsky found an interpretation of **g**-vectors and F-polynomials in terms of decorated representations of quivers with potentials. This was the motivation for defining **g**-vectors of decorated representations of arbitrary (possibly infinite-dimensional) basic algebras and the definition of the Caldero-Chapoton algebras associated with a basic algebra. In the following we briefly recall these definitions as recently introduced by Cerulli, Labardini and Schröer. We refer to [CLS] for missing definitions or unexplained notation.

A *quiver* $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ is a directed graph, where Q_0 denotes the finite set of *vertices* and Q_1 the finite set of *arrows*. The maps $s, t\colon Q_1 \to Q_0$ assign a *starting vertex* and a *terminating vertex* to each arrow. Throughout this chapter Q will be a quiver with $Q_0 = \{1, \dots, n\}$ vertices. We associate with the quiver Q the matrix $B_Q = (b_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$, where

$$b_{ij} := |\{\alpha \in Q_1 \mid s(\alpha) = j,\ t(\alpha) = i\}| - |\{\alpha \in Q_1 \mid s(\alpha) = i,\ t(\alpha) = j\}|\,.$$

By definition a *path of length* k in Q is a sequence of arrows $p = \alpha_k \dots \alpha_2\alpha_1$ such that $s(\alpha_{i+1}) = t(\alpha_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$.

A *representation of* Q is a tuple $(M_i, M_\alpha)_{i\in Q_0, \alpha\in Q_1}$ where M_i is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space and $M_\alpha\colon M_{s(\alpha)} \to M_{t(\alpha)}$ is a linear map. For a path $p = \alpha_k \dots \alpha_1$ in Q let $M_p := M_{\alpha_k} \circ \dots \circ M_{\alpha_1}$. The *dimension vector* of M is defined to be the tuple

$$\underline{\dim}(M) := (\dim(M_1), \dots, \dim(M_n)).$$

The *dimension* of M is $\dim(M) := \dim(M_1) + \dots + \dim(M_n)$.

The representation M is *nilpotent* if there is some $N > 0$ such that $M_p = 0$ for all paths p in Q with $\mathrm{length}(p) > N$. If M is a nilpotent representation the ith entry $\dim(M_i)$ of its dimension vector $\underline{\dim}(M)$ equals the Jordan-Hölder multiplicity $[M : S_i]$ of S_i in M.

A possibly infinite dimensional algebra A is called *basic* if $A = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q\rangle\rangle/I$ for some finite quiver Q and an admissible ideal I of the completed path algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q\rangle\rangle$. We denote by $\mathrm{mod}(A)$ the category of finite-dimensional (left-)modules over A. We can identify $\mathrm{mod}(A)$ with $\mathrm{rep}(A)$ the category of nilpotent representations of Q, which are annihilated by the relations in I. For $M \in \mathrm{rep}(A)$ we set

$$\mathrm{nil}_A(M) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid M_p = 0 \text{ for all paths } p \text{ of length } k\}.$$

A *decorated representation* of A is a pair $\mathcal{M} = (M, V)$ where $M \in \mathrm{rep}(A)$ and $V = (V_1, \dots, V_n)$ is a tuple of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. The category of decorated representations of A will be denoted by $\mathrm{decrep}(A)$. For each $i \in Q_0$ the *simple* representation at i is $\mathcal{S}_i = (S_i, 0)$. The *negative simple* representation at i is $\mathcal{S}_i^- = (0, V)$, where $V_i = \mathbb{C}$ and $V_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$.

For $\mathcal{M} = (M, V) \in \mathrm{decrep}(A)$ we define the *g-vector* of $\mathcal{M}$ to be the integral vector $g_A(\mathcal{M}) = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ where

$$g_i := g_i(\mathcal{M}) := -\dim \mathrm{Hom}_A(S_i, M) + \dim \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(S_i, M) + \dim(V_i).$$

For $\mathcal{M} = (M, V), \mathcal{N} = (N, V) \in \mathrm{decrep}(A)$ the *E-invariant* $E_A(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is defined as

$$E_A(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) := \dim \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i(\mathcal{N}) \dim(M_i).$$

The *E-invariant* of a decorated representation $\mathcal{M}$ of A is defined by $E_A(\mathcal{M}) := E_A(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$.

To every decorated representation $\mathcal{M} = (M, V)$ of A we associate a Laurent polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm}]$, the *Caldero-Chapoton function* $C_A(\mathcal{M})$ given by

$$C_A(\mathcal{M}) := \mathbf{x}^{g_A(\mathcal{M})} \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \chi(Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(M)) \mathbf{x}^{B_Q \mathbf{e}}$$

where $Gr_{\mathbf{e}}(M)$ denotes the *quiver Grassmannian*, i.e. the set of subrepresentations U of M with $\underline{\dim}(U) = \mathbf{e}$. We denote by

$$\mathcal{C}_A := \{C_A(\mathcal{M}) | \mathcal{M} \in \operatorname{decrep}(A)\}$$

the set of all Caldero-Chapoton functions associated to A. The *Caldero-Chapoton algebra* $\mathcal{A}_A$ associated to A is the $\mathbb{C}$-subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm}]$ generated by $\mathcal{C}_A$.

2.2. **Truncation of a basic algebra.** Let $A = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle / I$ be a basic algebra. For every integer $p \geq 2$ we define $I_p \subseteq A$ to be the ideal generated by all (residue classes of) paths of length p in Q. Then the *p-truncation* of A is the finite-dimensional algebra, defined as the factor algebra

$$A_p := A/I_p = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle / (I + \mathfrak{m}^p)$$

where $\mathfrak{m}$ denotes the *arrow ideal* in $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q \rangle\rangle$, generated by all arrows in Q.

For a finite-dimensional representation M of A denote by

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} I_0^A(M) \xrightarrow{g} I_1^A(M)$$

the minimal injective presentation of M and denote by τ_{A_p} the AR translation of A_p.

Proposition 2.1 ([CLS])**.** *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, V)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (N, V)$ be decorated representations of a basic algebra A and $p > \operatorname{nil}_A(M), \operatorname{nil}_A(N)$. Then $g_A(\mathcal{M}) = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ is given by*

$$g_i = -[\operatorname{soc}(I_0^{A_p}(M)) : S_i] + [\operatorname{soc}(I_1^{A_p}(M)) : S_i] + \dim(V_i)$$

for all $i \in Q_0$ and

$$E_A(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = E_{A_p}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A_p}(\tau_{A_p}^{-1}(N), M) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim(W_i) \dim(M_i).$$

In particular, we have

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A_p}(\tau_{A_p}^{-1}(N), M) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A_q}(\tau_{A_q}^{-1}(N), M)$$

for all $p, q > \operatorname{nil}_A(M), \operatorname{nil}_A(N)$.

Proof. In [CLS] this was proved with the assumption, that $p > \dim(M), \dim(N)$ instead of $p > \operatorname{nil}_A(M), \operatorname{nil}_A(N)$. Note that we always have $\dim(M) \geq \operatorname{nil}_A(M)$ (see for example the proof of [CLS, Lemma 2.2.]). However, it is easy to see, that the same proof works, using this weaker assumption. Note, that if $M \in \operatorname{mod}(A)$ with $p \geq \operatorname{nil}_A(M)$, then M is in the image of the embedding $\operatorname{mod}(A_p) \to \operatorname{mod}(A)$. Hence, if $p \geq \operatorname{nil}_A(M), \operatorname{nil}_A(N)$ it follows that

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_{A_p}(M, N) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_A(M, N).$$

Also note, that any extension of representations M and N of Λ is a representation E of Λ with $\operatorname{nil}_A(E) \leq \operatorname{nil}_A(M) + \operatorname{nil}_A(N)$. Thus if $p \geq \operatorname{nil}_A(M) + \operatorname{nil}_A(N)$ we have

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1_{A_p}(M, N) = \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1_A(M, N).$$

□

Dualizing the arguments of the proof of the last proposition one obtains the following:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $M, N \in \mathrm{mod}(A)$. Then we have*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{A_p}(N, \tau_{A_p}(M)) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{A_q}(N, \tau_{A_q}(M))$$

for all $p, q > \mathrm{nil}_A(M), \mathrm{nil}_A(N)$.

Remark 2.3. If A is finite-dimensional and M a non-injective representation of A with minimal projective presentation

$$P_1^A(M) \longrightarrow P_0^A(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

then

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau_A M \xrightarrow{f} \nu(P_1^A(M)) \xrightarrow{g} \nu(P_0^A(M))$$

is a minimal injective presentation of $\tau_A M$, where ν: $\mathrm{mod}(A) \to \mathrm{mod}(A)$ denotes the Nakayama functor. Then $g_A(\tau_A M) = (g_1, \ldots, g_n)$ is given by

$$g_i = -[\mathrm{top}(P_1^A(M)) : S_i] + [\mathrm{top}(P_0^A(M)) : S_i]$$

for all $i \in Q_0$.

The following lemma is due to Plamondon [P].

Lemma 2.4 ([P])**.** *Let A be a finite-dimensional Jacobian algebra. Then for $M \in \mathrm{mod}(A)$ we have*

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_A(\tau_A^{-1}(M), M) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, \tau_A(M)).$$

2.3. **Strongly reduced components.** Let A be a basic algebra and $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n$. We denote by

$$\mathrm{Irr}_{\mathbf{d}}(A) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{decIrr}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v}}(A)$$

the irreducible components of the variety $\mathrm{rep}_{\mathbf{d}}(A)$ consisting of representations of A with dimension vector $\mathbf{d}$ and correspondingly of the variety $\mathrm{decrep}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v}}(A)$. Furthermore we set

$$\mathrm{Irr}(A) = \bigcup_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^n} \mathrm{Irr}_{\mathbf{d}}(A) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{decIrr}(A) = \bigcup_{(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n} \mathrm{decIrr}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v}}(A).$$

For $Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v}}(A)$ we define the *dimension vector* of Z as $\underline{\dim}(Z) := (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n$.

Let Z, Z_1, Z_2 be in $\mathrm{decIrr}(A)$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M})$ the isomorphism class (or $G_{\mathbf{d}}$-orbit) of $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{decrep}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v}}(A)$. We define the following invariants

$$\begin{aligned} c_A(Z) &:= \min\{\dim(Z) - \dim \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}) | \mathcal{M} \in Z\}, \\ e_A(Z) &:= \min\{\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(M, M) | \mathcal{M} = (M, V) \in Z\}, \\ \mathrm{ext}^1_A(Z_1, Z_2) &:= \min\{\dim \mathrm{Ext}^1_A(M_1, M_2) | \mathcal{M}_i = (M_i, V_i) \in Z_i,\ i = 1, 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

One can show that there is a dense open subset U of Z (respectively of $Z_1 \times Z_2$) such that $E_A(\mathcal{M}) = E_A(\mathcal{N})$ for all $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in U$ (respectively $E_A(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2) = E_A(\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2)$ for all $(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2), (\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2) \in U$). We define the *generic E-invariant* $E_A(Z) := E_A(\mathcal{M})$ for $\mathcal{M} \in U$ (respectively $E_A(Z_1, Z_2) := E_A(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2)$ for $(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2) \in U$). By [CLS, Lemma 5.2.] we always have

$$c_A(Z) \le e_A(Z) \le E_A(Z) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathrm{ext}^1_A(Z_1, Z_2) \le E_A(Z_1, Z_2)$$

for Z, Z_1, Z_2 in $\mathrm{decIrr}(A)$.

An irreducible component $Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}(A)$ is *strongly reduced* if

$$c_A(Z) = e_A(Z) = E_A(Z)$$

and it is *indecomposable* if it contains a dense subset of indecomposable representations. If $Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v}}(A)$ is indecomposable then either $\mathbf{d} = 0$ or $\mathbf{v} = 0$. The set of all strongly reduced components of $\mathrm{decrep}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v}}(A)$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v}}(A)$ and we set

$$\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(A) = \bigcup_{(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v})\in\mathbb{N}^n\times\mathbb{N}^n} \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}_{\mathbf{d},\mathbf{v}}(A).$$

Let

$$G^{\mathrm{s.r.}}_A\colon\ \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(A) \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^n$$

be the map sending $Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(A)$ to the generic $\mathbf{g}$-vector $g_A(Z)$ of Z. There is the following parametrization for strongly reduced components:

Theorem 2.5 ([CLS])**.** *For a basic algebra $A = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q\rangle\rangle/I$ the following hold:*

(i) The map

$$G^{\mathrm{s.r.}}_A\colon\ \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(A) \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^n$$

is injective.

(ii) The following are equivalent:

(a) $G^{\mathrm{s.r.}}_A$ is surjective.

(b) $\overline{A} := \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q\rangle\rangle/\overline{I}$ is finite-dimensional, where $\overline{I}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic closure of I.

2.4. **String Algebras.** A finite-dimensional basic algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q\rangle\rangle/I$ is called a *string algebra* if the following hold:

(S1) Each vertex of Q is starting point of at most two arrows and end point of at most two arrows;

(S2) For each arrow β there exists at most one arrow α such that $\beta\alpha \notin I$ and at most one arrow γ such that $\gamma\beta \notin I$.

From now on let $A = \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Q\rangle\rangle/I$ be a string algebra. For any arrow $\beta \in Q_1$ we define a formal inverse β^- and we set $Q_1^- := \{\beta^- \mid \beta \in Q_1\}$. By definition we have $(\beta^-)^- = \beta$. A *string of length* $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in A is a reduced word in Q, i.e. a sequence $C = c_m \dots c_1$ where $c_i \in Q_1 \cup Q_1^-$ for all i. Furthermore C does not contain a sequence of the form $\beta\beta^-$ (or $\beta^-\beta$) or any zero relations defined by I. A string $C = c_m \dots c_1$ is *directed* if $c_i \in Q_1$ for all i or $c_i \in Q_1^-$ for all i. We say two strings C and C' are *equivalent* (write $C \sim C'$) if $C = C'$ or $C^- = C'$. We choose a complete set of representatives of the set of all strings relative to $\sim$ and denote it by $\mathscr{S}_A$.

A string is *cyclic* if it starts and ends at the same vertex. A cyclic string B is called a *band* if it is not directed and each power B^m is a string but B itself is not the power of a shorter string. If $B = c_m \dots c_1$ is a band, then a *rotation* of B is a band of the form $c_i \dots c_1 c_m \dots c_{i+1}$ for any $1 \le i \le m$. We say two bands B and B' are *rotation-equivalent* and write $B \sim_r B'$ if B' is a rotation of the band B or B^-. We choose a complete set of representatives of the set of all bands relative to $\sim_r$ and denote it by $\mathscr{S}_A^B$.

We envision any string $C = c_m \dots c_1$ by drawing a diagram where we draw an arrow

$$y_i \xrightarrow{\ c_i\ } y_{i+1} \quad \text{if } c_i \in Q_1 \text{ and} \qquad y_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\ c_i\ } y_i \quad \text{if } c_i \in Q_1^-$$

for all $1 \le i \le n$. The *string module* $M(C)$ is then given by taking the vertices $\{y_1, \dots, y_{m+1}\}$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-basis and the arrows in the diagram show, how A operates on the basis vectors: if an arrow α appears in C as c_i, it sends y_i to y_{i+1}, if α^- appears as c_i, it sends y_{i+1} to y_i and otherwise it acts as zero.

Similarly, we can define a *band module* $M(B,\lambda,k)$ for every band B, every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and every integer $k \geq 1$ (see [BuRi]). By excluding directed cyclic strings we make sure, that all band modules are nilpotent A-modules.

Theorem 2.6 ([BuRi])**.** *The string modules $M(C)$, with $C \in \mathscr{S}_A$ and the band modules $M(B,\lambda,k)$ with $B \in \mathscr{S}_A^B$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, form a complete list of pairwise non-isomorphic representatives of indecomposable finite-dimensional A-modules.*

Let C and C' be two strings. We call a pair of triples of strings

$$(a,a') = ((C_3,C_2,C_1),(C_3',C_2',C_1'))$$

such that $C = C_3C_2C_1$ and $C' = C_3'C_2'C_1'$ *admissible* if $C_2 \sim C_2'$ and the diagrams of C and C' are given by



Here it is possible that C_i and C_i' are strings of length zero. We denote the set of all admissible pairs for C and C' by $\mathscr{A}(C,C')$. The following theorem was proven in a more general set up by Crawley-Boevey [CB].

Theorem 2.7. *Let C and C' be two strings. For any admissible pair $(a,a') \in \mathscr{A}(C,C')$ there is a canonical homomorphism $f_{(a,a')}\colon M(C) \to M(C')$. Moreover the set*

$$\{f_{(a,a')} \mid (a,a') \in \mathscr{A}(C,C')\}$$

is a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M(C),M(C'))$.

This description of homomorphisms can be transferred to band modules and one should keep the same picture in mind. For a band B we consider admissible pairs of the string B^k for any positive integer k. However we do not want to count the same pair twice in different copies of B.

Butler and Ringel gave a description of all the Auslander-Reiten sequences containing string modules. We will now apply their results to the case where Λ is the infinite dimensional Jacobian algebra $\mathcal{P}(Q,W)$ as in the introduction. However, the p-truncation Λ_p is finite-dimensional and thus a string algebra for every integer $p \geq 2$. Hence, if we set

$$\mathscr{S}_\Lambda = \bigcup_{p\geq 2} \mathscr{S}_{\Lambda_p} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathscr{S}_\Lambda^B = \bigcup_{p\geq 2} \mathscr{S}_{\Lambda_p}^B$$

then the string modules $M(C)$ with $C \in \mathscr{S}_\Lambda$ and the band modules $M(B,\lambda,k)$ with $B \in \mathscr{S}_\Lambda^B$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, form a complete list of pairwise non-isomorphic representatives of indecomposable Λ-modules.

Theorem 2.8. *Let C be a string of length m in $\mathscr{S}_\Lambda$ and fix $p > m$. Then we have:*

(i) *There are unique arrows* β_1, β_2 *and directed strings* D_1, D_2 *of length* p *such that* $\tau_{\Lambda_p}^{-1}(C) := {}_hC_h := D_2\beta_2^- C\beta_1 D_1^-$ *is a string in* $\mathscr{S}_{\Lambda_p}$ *and*

$$\tau_{\Lambda_p}^{-1}(M(C)) \cong M(\tau_{\Lambda_p}^{-1}(C))$$

and $D_2\beta_2^-$ *and* $\beta_1 D_1^-$ *will be referred to as hooks.*

(ii) *There are unique arrows* γ_1, γ_2 *and directed strings* E_1, E_2 *of length* p *such that* $\tau_{\Lambda_p}(C) := {}_cC_c := E_2^-\gamma_2 C\gamma_1^- E_1$ *is a string in* $\mathscr{S}_{\Lambda_p}$ *and*

$$\tau_{\Lambda_p}(M(C)) \cong M(\tau_{\Lambda_p}(C))$$

and $E_2^-\gamma_2$ *and* $\gamma_1^- E_1$ *will be referred to as cohooks.*

(iii) *If* C *is in fact a band* B*, then*

$$\tau_{\Lambda_p}^{-1}(M(B,\lambda,k)) \cong M(B,\lambda,k)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ *and all* $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$.

Remark 2.9. Let C, C' be strings of length m and m' respectively. Set $E_\Lambda(C,C') := E_\Lambda(M(C), M(C'))$. If we fix $p > \max\{m+1, m+1'\}$, then we can compute

$$E_\Lambda(C,C') = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda_p}(M(C'), \tau_{\Lambda_p}^{-1}(M(C))) = \left|\mathscr{A}(\tau_{\Lambda_p}^{-1}(C'), C)\right|.$$

We call a string E_Λ*-rigid* if the corresponding string module is E_Λ-rigid. We call a band B *strongly reduced* if the band module $M := M(B,\lambda,1)$ satisfies $E_\Lambda(M) = 1$.

Let A be a tame algebra and Z an indecomposable irreducible component. Then it is known, that Z is either the closure of the orbit of an indecomposable module or the closure of the union of the orbits of a dense 1-parameter family of indecomposable modules. By [CLS, Lemma 5.6.], we know that it is enough to classify the strongly reduced components of decrep(Λ_p) for all $p \geq 2$. Hence we can always choose p big enough and assume that $\Lambda = \Lambda_p$ is a string algebra. This yields the following theorem.

Theorem 2.10. *Let* C *be an* E_Λ*-rigid string and* B *a strongly reduced band. Then*

$$Z = \overline{\mathcal{O}(M(C))} \qquad \text{and} \qquad Z' = \overline{\bigcup_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}^*} \mathcal{O}(M(B,\lambda,1))}$$

are in $\mathrm{Irr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ *indecomposable, where* Z *is* E_Λ*-rigid and* Z' *is not. On the other hand any indecomposable strongly reduced component in* $\mathrm{Irr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ *arises as one of the above.*

3. Classification of indecomposable strongly reduced components

3.1. **The E_Λ-rigid components.** By Theorem 2.10 it is enough to give a complete description of the E_Λ-rigid strings in order to classify the indecomposable E_Λ-rigid components in $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$. In order to do so we first need to introduce some notation.

For $x_1 \in \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1\}$ we denote by $(x_1 : 0)$ the string of length 0 at the vertex $s(x_1)$. Now let $x_1 \in \{\alpha_1^\pm, \beta_1^\pm, \gamma_1^\pm\}$. By a sequence $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ we denote the string

$$C = (x_2^- x_1)^{a_n} y_2 y_1^- (x_2^- x_1)^{a_{n-1}} \ldots (x_2^- x_1)^{a_2} y_2 y_1^- (x_2^- x_1)^{a_1}$$

where $x_2 \in \{\alpha_2^{\pm}, \beta_2^{\pm}, \gamma_2^{\pm}\}$ such that $s(x_2) = s(x_1)$ and $y_1 \in \{\alpha_1^{\pm}, \beta_1^{\pm}, \gamma_1^{\pm}\} \setminus \{x_1\}$. If x_1 is in Q_1 the diagram of C is given by:



If x_1 is in Q_1^- the diagram of C is given by:



Note that identifying a sequence $(x_1 : a_1, \dots a_n)$ with a string C is an injective mapping. Furthermore if C is given by the sequence $(x_1 : a_1, \dots, a_n)$ then the inverse string C^- is given by the sequence $(x_2 : a_n, \dots, a_1)$. Since we are only interested in the strings up to the equivalence relation $\sim$ we restrict to sequences with $x_1 \in \{\alpha_1^{\pm}, \beta_1^{\pm}, \gamma_1^{\pm}\}$.

Example 1. We would like to clarify the above notation with two examples. The sequence $(\beta_1 : 3)$ corresponds to the string with diagram



and the string given by the sequence $(\beta_1 : 3, 3, 3)$ has diagram


1 1
α_1 α_2 α_1 α_2
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
β_1 β_2 β_1 β_2 β_1 β_2 β_1 β_2 β_1 β_2 β_1 β_2
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3

In the proofs of this chapter we will often work with diagrams of strings. Let C be a string and $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C) = {}_hC_h$. The hooks of ${}_hC_h$ will be displayed in green. If there is an admissible pair $((C_3', C_2', C_1'), (C_3, C_2, C_1))$ in $\mathscr{A}(\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C), C)$ we distinguish the members of the middle terms C_2' and C_2 with arrows of the type $\Longrightarrow$.

Lemma 3.1. *Up to equivalence any E_Λ-rigid string C is of the form $(x_1 : a_1, \dots, a_n)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the strings of length zero corresponding to the simple representations are E_Λ-rigid and these correspond to sequences $(x_1 : 0)$. Now assume that $C = c_m \dots c_1$ with $m \geq 1$. We consider the case that c_1 is in Q_1 (the case $c_1 \in Q_1^-$ is similar) and because of the symmetry of Q we can restrict to the case $c_1 = \alpha_1$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq m$ be maximal such that $c_i \dots c_1$ is in Q_1. We have to show that $i = 1$:

Case 1: Let $i \equiv 0 \bmod 3$. If $m > i$ we know that c_{i+1} is in Q_1^-. Thus the left side of the diagram of $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$ is



and we see there is an admissible pair in $\mathscr{A}(\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C), C)$.

Case 2: Let $i \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ and $i \neq 1$. Then the left side of the diagram of $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$ is



and we see there is a non-trivial homomorphism from $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(M(C))$ to $M(C)$.

Case 3: Let $i \equiv 2 \bmod 3$. Then the left side of the diagram of $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$ is



and we see there is a non-trivial homomorphism from $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(M(C))$ to $M(C)$. Also note, that if $c_1 = \alpha_1$ then the vertex 3 may not appear in the socle of the diagram of C.

So now we know that if $C = c_m \dots c_1$ is E_Λ-rigid and $c_1 = \alpha_1$, then we must have $c_2 = \alpha_2^-$ (or more general, if $c_1 = x_1$ then $c_2 = x_2^-$). Note that since $C^- = c_1^- \dots c_m^-$ is also E_Λ-rigid, we find that if c_m is in Q_1 then c_{m-1} is in Q_1^- and vice versa. Another easy case-by-case study shows that $c_m = \alpha_2^-$ (or more general, if $c_1 = x_1$ then $c_m = x_2^-$).

Next we show the following: If $C = c_m \dots c_{j+i} \dots c_{j+1} c_j \dots c_1$ such that $c_{j+i}, \dots, c_{j+1}$ are in Q_1 (or in Q_1^- respectively) and such that c_{j+i+1} is in Q_1^- (or in Q_1 respectively) then $i \leq 2$. We assume the above claim does not hold and again show by a case-by-case study, that there is an admissible pair for $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$ and C. Consider the case that $c_{j+i} \dots c_{j+1}$ are in Q_1 (the case $c_{j+i} \dots c_{j+1}$ in Q_1^- is symmetric) with $i \geq 3$ and such that ...

Case 1: ... $t(c_{j+i}) = 1$. Hence $c_{i+j} = \gamma_1$ or $c_{i+j} = \gamma_2$ and we find a non-trivial homomorphism depicted in the diagram of $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$:



Case 2: ... $t(c_{j+i}) = 2$. Hence $c_{j+i}c_{j+i-1} = \alpha_2\gamma_1$ or $c_{j+i}c_{j+i-1} = \gamma_2, \alpha_1$ and we find a non-trivial homomorphism depicted in the diagram of $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$:



Case 3: ... $t(c_{j+i}) = 3$. Then the vertex 3 is in the socle of the diagram of C which was excluded before.

Now assume that $C = \alpha_2^-\alpha_1 c_{n-2}\dots c_3\alpha_2^-\alpha_1$ and that the vertex 1 appears in the socle of the diagram of C. We look at the part of the diagram, where this happens for the first time. Since the vertex 3 cannot appear in the socle and the vertex 2 cannot appear in the top of the diagram of C, the only possibility for this yields a non-trivial homomorphism, depicted below in the diagram of $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$



Hence the vertex 1 cannot appear in the socle of the diagram of C. □

Remark 3.2. Let $\Lambda' = \mathcal{P}(Q, W')$ be the finite-dimensional Jacobian algebra. Then it is easy to see, that its 5-truncation Λ'_5 equals the 5-truncation of the infinite dimensional algebra $\Lambda = \mathcal{P}(Q, W)$. By Lemma 3.1 all E_Λ-rigid strings are E_{Λ_5}-rigid and hence $E_{\Lambda'_5}$-rigid. Thus by Prop 2.1 any E_Λ-rigid module is also $E_{\Lambda'}$-rigid.

Lemma 3.3. *Let C and C' be given by sequences $(x_1 : a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $(x_1 : a'_1, \dots, a'_m)$ respectively and denote by $\overline{C}$ (resp. $\overline{C'}$) the string given by $(x_1^- : a_1, \dots, a_n)$ (resp. $(x_1^- : a'_1, \dots, a'_m)$). Then the following hold:*

(i) C is E_Λ-rigid if and only if $\overline{C}$ is E_Λ-rigid.

(ii) $M(C) \oplus M(C')$ is E_Λ-rigid if and only if $M(\overline{C}) \oplus M(\overline{C'})$ is E_Λ-rigid.

Proof. The diagram of $\overline{C}$ without labelling is obtained by mirroring the diagram of C horizontally. Hence there is a bijection between $\mathscr{A}(C, {}_cC_c)$ and $\mathscr{A}({}_h\overline{C}_h, \overline{C})$. By the above

remark we can consider C and $\overline{C}$ as strings over Λ_5' and use Lemma 2.4 to obtain

$$\left|\mathscr{A}(\tau^-_{\Lambda_5'}C, C)\right| = \left|\mathscr{A}(C, \tau_{\Lambda_5'}C)\right| = |\mathscr{A}(C, {}_c C_c)| = \left|\mathscr{A}({}_h\overline{C}_h, \overline{C})\right| = \left|\mathscr{A}(\tau^-_{\Lambda_5'}\overline{C}, \overline{C})\right|$$

and this proves (i). Now the second statement follows using the same arguments and additivity of the Auslander-Reiten translate. □

Remark 3.4. By the last lemma we can now restrict our investigations to strings starting with $x_1 \in Q_1$. Because of the symmetry of Q and of the relations defined in I, the properties of a string C given by $(x_1 : a_1, \dots, a_n)$ we are interested in, do not depend on its starting arrow x_1. Hence we often write $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ instead of $(x_1 : a_1, \dots, a_n)$. If s_1 and s_2 are subsequences of $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ say $s_1 = (a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j)$ for $1 \le i \le j \le n$ and $s_2 = (a_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_l)$ for $1 \le k \le l \le n$ we write

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\dots, s_1, \dots, s_2, \dots).$$

This does not necessarily imply that the subsequences appear in this order in the sequence $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and they may overlap. If a subsequence s of $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ satisfies $s = a_1, \dots, a_i$ for some $1 \le i \le n$ we denote it by $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (s, \dots)$. If we want to imply, that a subsequence s can be a subsequence at the end of $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$, i.e. that s is not necessarily followed by a comma, we write $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\dots, s \dots)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let C and C' be given by $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $(x_1 : a_1', a_2', \dots, a_m')$ respectively with $x_1 \in Q_1$. Then $E_\Lambda(C', C) = 0$ is equivalent to the conditions:*

(I) Let $a := \max\{a_i \mid 1 \le i \le n\}$. Then $a - 1 \le a_j'$ for all $1 \le j \le m$.

(II) a)If there is a subsequence s of $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ such that

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (s, a_i \dots) \quad \text{and} \quad (a_1', a_2', \dots, a_m') = (\dots, s, a_j' \dots)$$

then $a_i \le a_j'$ (in particular $a_1 \le a_j'$ for all $1 < j \le m$).

b)If there is a subsequence s of $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ such that

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (\dots a_i, s) \quad \text{and} \quad (a_1', a_2', \dots, a_m') = (\dots a_j', s, \dots)$$

then $a_i \le a_j'$ (in particular $a_n \le a_j'$ for all $1 \le j < m$).

(III) If there is a subsequence s of $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ such that

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (\dots a_i, s, a_{i+t} \dots) \text{ and } (a_1', a_2', \dots, a_m') = (\dots a_j', s, a_{j+t}' \dots)$$

then $a_i \le a_j'$ or $a_{i+t} \le a_{j+t}'$.

(IV) If there exists $1 \le i \le m$ such that $(a_i', a_{i+1}', \dots, a_{i+n}') = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ then $i = 1$ or $i + n = m$.

Proof. The diagram of $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$ is of the form:



In the diagrams of C' and $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$ we have three levels. We call them the top, the middle and the socle. If $((C_1, C_2, C_3), (C_1', C_2', C_3'))$ is an admissible pair of $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$ and C', then the middle terms C_2 and C_2' have to start at the same level and end at the same level. Obviously C_2' cannot begin or end at the top level. We consider the other possibilities case by case:

(i) If C_2 begins at socle and ends at middle, then

$$C_2 = (x_2^- x_1)^b y_2 y_1^- \underbrace{x_2^- \dots x_1}_{=:\mathrm{s}} y_2 y_1^- x_2^-$$

and it has subdiagram in $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$



where $b < a_i$ and the broken lines are not part of the diagram of C_2 but of the diagram of $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$. Hence in C', C_2' corresponds to a subsequence $(\dots, s, b, \dots)$. This is eliminated by condition (II)(a). On the other hand if $E_\Lambda(C', C) = 0$, we see that C and C' satisfy (II)(a).

(ii) If C_2 begins at middle and ends at socle we use the same argument as above and condition (II)(b). And if $E_\Lambda(C', C) = 0$ holds, we see that C and C' satisfy condition (II)(b).

(iii) If C_2 begins and ends at middle then either $C_2 = (x_2^- x_1)^b$ with $b < a - 1$ and with subdiagram in $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$ given by:



Then C_2' corresponds to a subsequence $(\dots, b, \dots)$ in C'. Since $b < a - 1$ this is ruled out by condition (I). On the other hand if $a_j' = b < a - 1$ for one $1 \le j \le m$ we see that there is a non-trivial homomorphism from $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(C)$ to C'. Hence if $E_\Lambda(C', C) = 0$, condition (I) is satisfied. Otherwise $C_2 = (x_2^- x_1)^{b_2} y_2 y_1^-\ s\ y_2 y_1^- (x_2^- x_1)^{b_1}$ where $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\dots a_i, s, a_{i+t} \dots)$ has subdiagram



Then C_2' corresponds to a subsequence $(\dots, b_1, s, b_2, \dots)$ in C'. Since $b_1 < a_i$ and $b_2 < a_{i+t}$ this case is excluded by condition (III). Again assuming $E_\Lambda(C', C) = 0$ this shows that C and C' satisfy condition (III)

(iv) If C_2 starts and ends at socle, then C_2' corresponds to $(, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n,)$. This is no subsequence of C' by condition (IV). On the other hand if it was a subsequence then $E_\Lambda(C', C) = 0$ could not be true.

□

Let C be a string given by the sequence $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. We set

$$(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^\sigma := (x_1 : a_n, \dots, a_2, a_1)$$

and say C is *symmetric* if $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^\sigma = (x_1 : a_1, a_2 \dots, a_n)$.

Proposition 3.6. *A string C of the form $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is E_Λ-rigid if and only if the following hold:*

(i) C is symmetric.

(ii) Let $a := a_1$. Then $a_i \in \{a, a+1\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(iii) There is no subsequence s of $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ such that

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (s, a+1 \dots, s, a \dots).$$

(iv) There is no subsequence s of $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ such that

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (\dots a+1, s, a+1 \dots a, s, a \dots).$$

Proof. Let $C = (x_1 : a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ satisfy conditions $(i) - (iv)$. We use Lemma 3.5 to show that C is E_Λ-rigid. Since $a_i \in \{a, a+1\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ condition (I) holds. The symmetry of C and (iii) imply Lemma 3.5 (II). Condition (iv) guarantees Lemma 3.5 (III). Lemma 3.5 (IV) is obviously satisfied.

Now assume that C is E_Λ-rigid. Then C satisfies the conditions on C and $C' = C$ in Lemma 3.5. Let $b := \max\{a_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Then by condition Lemma 3.5(I) we have $b - 1 \leq a_i \leq b$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Lemma 3.5 (II) we have $a_1 \leq a_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and this shows (ii). Conditions (iii) and (iv) follow directly from Lemma 3.5 (II) and (III).

Finally we prove that C is symmetric, that is $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (a_n, \dots, a_2, a_1)$. Assume that this is not the case and choose a subsequence s maximal such that

$$C = (\underbrace{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k}_{s}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{n-k+1}, \underbrace{a_k, \dots, a_2, a_1}_{s^\sigma})$$

with $a_{k+1} \neq a_{n-k+1}$. We already know that C satisfies (ii), hence $\{a_{k+1}, a_{n-k+1}\} = \{a, a+1\}$, where $a := a_1$. Without loss of generality assume $a_{n-k+1} = a = a_1$ and $a_{k+1} = a+1$. Define s' to be the subsequence of C of same length as s starting at a_{n-k+1}, hence $s' = (a, a_k, \dots, a_2)$. Since we have already shown that C satisfies condition (iii) we know that $s \neq s'$. Choose P_1 maximal such that $s = (P_1, P_2)$ and $s' = (P_1, P_2')$. Since C satisfies (iii) we know that $P_2 = (a, \dots)$ and $P_2' = (a+1, \dots)$ and hence

$$C = (\underbrace{\underbrace{a, Q}_{P_1}, \underbrace{a, \dots,}_{P_2}}_{s} a+1, \dots, \underbrace{\underbrace{a, Q}_{P_1}, \underbrace{a+1, \dots,}_{P_2'}}_{s'} a)$$

for some subsequence Q. In particular we know that

$$s^\sigma = (Q, a+1, \dots, a, Q^\sigma, a) \quad \text{and} \quad s = (s^\sigma)^\sigma = (a, Q, a, \dots, a+1, Q^\sigma).$$

So eventually we have

$$C = (s, a+1, \dots, a, s^\sigma) = (a, Q, a, \dots, \boldsymbol{a+1, Q^\sigma, a+1}, \dots, a, Q, a+1, \dots, \boldsymbol{a, Q^\sigma, a})$$

which contradicts condition (iv). □

Remark 3.7. It is easy to see, slightly altering the proofs of Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.6, that any E_Λ-rigid module has trivial endomorphism ring. However, the module corresponding to the string $\beta_2\alpha_1$ has trivial endomorphism ring and no selfextensions but is not E_Λ-rigid.

Lemma 3.8. *If*

$$C = (x_1 : a_1, \dots, a_n) \qquad \text{and} \qquad C' = (x_1' : a_1', \dots, a_m')$$

are E_Λ-rigid strings, not of length zero and such that $x_1 \neq x_1'$, then there is no edge between them in $\Gamma(\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}\cdot}(\Lambda))$.

Proof. This can be seen by fixing x_1 and considering all five possible cases for $x_1' \neq x_1$. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let C and C' in be E_Λ-rigid given by a sequence $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and $(x_1 : a_1', a_2', \ldots, a_m')$ respectively. Set $a := a_1$ and assume $a_1' \leq a_1$. Then*

$$E_\Lambda(C', C) = 0 = E_\Lambda(C, C')$$

if and only if the following hold:

(i) We have $a_1' \in \{a-1, a\}$ and if $a_1' = a-1$ then $m = 1$ and $a_i = a$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(ii) a)If there is a subsequence s of $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ such that

$$(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = (s, a+1 \ldots) \text{ and } (a_1', a_2', \ldots, a_m') = (\ldots, s, a_j' \ldots)$$

then $a_j' = a+1$.

b)The same statement as in a) holds with reversed roles of C and C'.

(iii) a)If there is a subsequence s of $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ such that

$$(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = (\ldots, a+1, s, a+1, \ldots)$$

and

$$(a_1', a_2', \ldots, a_m') = (\ldots a_j', s, a_{j+t}' \ldots)$$

then $a_j' = a+1$ or $a_{j+t}' = a+1$ (here $t = 1$ is possible).

b)The same statement as in a) holds with reversed roles of C and C'.

(iv) a)If there exists $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $(a_i', a_{i+1}', \ldots, a_{i+n}') = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ then $i = 1$ or $i + n = m$.

b)The same statement as in a) holds with reversed roles of C and C'.

Proof. Use Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.6. □

3.2. **The components that are not E_Λ-rigid.** In this subsection we describe the strongly reduced bands. By a sequence $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n,)$, where $x_1 \in \{\alpha_1^\pm, \beta_1^\pm, \gamma_1^\pm\}$ and $a_i \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ ending with comma we denote the string

$$B = y_2 y_1^- (x_2^- x_1)^{a_n} y_2 y_1^- (x_2^- x_1)^{a_{n-1}} \ldots (x_2^- x_1)^{a_2} y_2 y_1^- (x_2^- x_1)^{a_1}$$

where $y_1 \in \{\alpha_1^\pm, \beta_1^\pm, \gamma_1^\pm\}$.

If B corresponds to the sequence $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n,)$ then $s(B) = t(B)$ and for any positive integer k the string B^k is given by the sequence

$$(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n,)^k = (x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, a_1, \ldots, a_n, \ldots a_1, \ldots, a_n,).$$

Remark 3.10. Let B be given by a sequence $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n,)$. Even though we always have $s(B) = t(B)$ this B is not a band in general. Consider for example the sequence $(\alpha_1 : 2, 2,)$. This defines the string $B = \gamma_2\gamma_1^-\alpha_2^-\alpha_1\alpha_2^-\alpha_1\gamma_2\gamma_1^-\alpha_2^-\alpha_1\alpha_2^-\alpha_1 = (\gamma_2\gamma_1^-\alpha_2^-\alpha_1\alpha_2^-\alpha_1)^2$ which is not a band as it is the power of a shorter string.

Also note that if $(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n,)$ describes a band, then for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ the rotation $(x_1 : a_i, \ldots, a_n, a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1},)$ describes a rotation-equivalent band. However, we will establish conditions on the positive integers a_i such that the sequences $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n,)$ satisfying these conditions describe a complete set of representatives of strongly reduced bands which are pairwise not rotation-equivalent.

Lemma 3.11. *Up to rotation-equivalence any strongly reduced band B is of the form $(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n,)$.*

Proof. The proof that any strongly reduced band B is of the form $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n,)$ is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1. Since $\tau_\Lambda^{-1}(B)$ equals B, it is still not quite the same and we have to check the details again. So let $B = c_m \dots c_1$ be strongly reduced and assume without loss of generality that $c_1 \in Q_1$.

First note the following: If B is strongly reduced, there cannot be a directed substring of length ≥ 6. A directed substring of length 6 would mean, that there was the same vertex at the top and the socle, yielding a non-trivial homomorphism. A directed substring of length > 6 means that we walked through the cycle of length 6 in Λ more than once. Hence there is the same sequence at the top of the directed string and in the socle.

Now we show that in fact the longest directed substring $c_{j+i} \dots c_{j+1}$ of B such that $c_{j+i} \dots c_{j+1} \in Q_1$ is of length at most two. We assume that $c_{j+1} = \alpha_1$ and thus $c_j = \alpha_2^-$ (where $c_0 = c_m$ if $j + 1 = 1$).

Case 1: Let $i = 3$. Then the vertex 1 appears in its top and in its socle which yields a non-trivial endomorphism of B.

Case 2: Let $i = 4$. Since $c_j = \alpha_2^-$ we know that $c_{j-1} \notin \{\alpha_1^-, \alpha_2\}$ where $c_{j-1} = c_m$ if $j = 1$. Thus the band B has diagram



or it has diagram



In either case we see that there is a non-trivial endomorphism.

Case 3: Let $i = 5$. Since $c_j = \alpha_2^-$ we know that $c_{j-1} \notin \{\alpha_1^-, \alpha_2, \beta_2^-, \beta_1\}$ where $c_{j-1} = c_m$ if $j = 1$. Thus the band B either has diagram



or it has diagram



In both cases we find a non-trivial endomorphism. So we actually have $1 \leq i \leq 2$. Note if i equals 1 then B is given by the sequence $(x_1 : 0,)$.

So now let B be a band with a subword $c_{i+4}c_{i+3}c_{i+2}c_{i+1}c_i$ such that $c_i, c_{i+3} \in Q_1^-$ and $c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, c_{i+4} \in Q_1$ (or vice versa). We assume that $c_{i+1} = \alpha_1$. Then B has diagram



and the vertices 1 and 2 cannot appear in the socle and the vertex 3 cannot appear in the top of the diagram of B. If $c_{i+1} = \alpha_1^-$ the vertices 1 and 2 cannot appear in the top and the vertex 3 cannot appear in the socle of the diagram of B. In either case we see that B can be described by a sequence $(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n,)$. □

Lemma 3.12. *Let $B = (x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n,)$ be a band and let $a := \min_{1 \leq i \leq n}\{a_i\}$. Then B is strongly reduced if and only if the following hold:*

(i) $a_i \in \{a, a+1\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

(ii) for any positive integer k there is no subsequence s of $B^k = (a_1, \ldots, a_n,)^k$ such that

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_n,)^k = (\ldots, a, s, a, \ldots, a+1, s, a+1, \ldots).$$

Proof. This is proved in a similar way as Lemma 3.5. □

Remark 3.13. When using Lemma 3.12 to show that a band B is strongly reduced, it will be enough to check condition (ii) for $k = 2$.

Lemma 3.14. *(i) If the string $C = (x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ is E_Λ-rigid, then $(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n + 1,)$ is a strongly reduced band B.*

(ii) Let $C = (x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and $C' = (x_1' : a_1', \ldots, a_m')$ be two different E_Λ-rigid strings. Then the sequences $(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n + 1,)$ and $(x_1' : a_1', \ldots, a_m' + 1,)$ describe bands B and B', that are not rotation-equivalent.

Proof. We first show that B is indeed a band. By definition we have $s(B) = t(B)$. Now suppose that $B = X^m$ for some band X and $m \geq 2$. Then we can assume that $X = (x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_k,)$ for some $1 \leq k < n$ and $(a_1, \ldots, a_n + 1,) = (a_1, \ldots, a_k,)^m$. Since C is E_Λ-rigid, if $a := a_1$ we know that $C = (a, a_2, \ldots, a)$ and hence $B = (a, a_2, \ldots, a+1,)$. Therefore we can assume that $X = (s, a+1,)$ for some subsequence s. Then

$$C = (\underbrace{s, a+1, s, a+1, \ldots, s, a+1}_{m-1}, s, a).$$

But this contradicts C being E_Λ-rigid.

Now we show that B is strongly reduced. Part (i) of Lemma 3.12 is obviously satisfied. Consider the more complicated case, where we have $Q = a+1, s, a+1$ and $P = a, s, a$ as subsequences of B^2. We have to consider four cases. We denote two copies of B as follows

$$B^2 = |\underbrace{a, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a+1,}_{B}|\underbrace{a, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a+1,}_{B}|$$

Case 1: Q does not contain $a_n + 1$

(i) and P does not contain $a_n + 1$. Then

$$B = |a, \dots, \underbrace{a+1, s, a+1,}_{Q} \dots \underbrace{a, s, a,}_{P} \dots, a+1, |$$

and then $C = (a, \dots, \boldsymbol{a+1, s, a+1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a, s, a}, \dots, a)$ is not E_Λ-rigid.

(ii) and P does contain $a_n + 1$. Then

$$B^2 = |a, \dots, a+1, s, a+1, \dots, a, \underbrace{s_1, a+1, |s_2,}_{s} a, \dots, a+1, |$$

and then $C = (s_2, a, \dots, \boldsymbol{a+1, s_1, a+1}, s_2, a+1 \dots \boldsymbol{a, s_1, a})$ is not E_Λ-rigid.

Case 2: $Q = a+1, s, a_n+1$

(i) and P does not contain a_n+1. Then $B = |a, \dots, a, s, a, \dots, a+1, s, a+1, |$ and then $C = (a, \dots, a, s, a, \dots, a+1, s, a)$ is not E_Λ-rigid.

(ii) and P does contain $a_n + 1$. Then

$$B^2 = |a, \dots, a, \underbrace{s_1, a+1, |s_2,}_{s} a, \dots, a+1, s, a+1, |$$

and then $C = (s_2, a, \dots, \boldsymbol{a+1, s_1, a+1}, s_2, a) = (s_2, a, \dots, \boldsymbol{a, s_1, a})$ is not E_Λ-rigid.

Case 3: $Q = a_n + 1, s, a+1$

(i) and P does not contain $a_n + 1$. Then

$$B^2 = |a, \dots, a, s, a, \dots, a+1, |s, a+1, \dots |$$

and then $C = (\boldsymbol{s, a+1}, \dots, a, \boldsymbol{s, a}, \dots, a)$ is not E_Λ-rigid.

(ii) and P does contain $a_n + 1$. Then

$$B^3 = |\dots, a+1, |s, a+1, \dots, a, \underbrace{s_1, a+1, |s_2,}_{s} a, \dots, a+1, |$$

and then $C = (\boldsymbol{s_1, a+1}, s_2, a+1, \dots, a, \boldsymbol{s_1, a})$ is not E_Λ-rigid.

Case 4: $Q = a+1, s_1, a_n+1, s_2, a+1$

(i) and P does not contain $a_n + 1$. Then

$$B^2 = |a, \dots, a, s, a, \dots, a+1, \underbrace{s_1, a+1, |s_2,}_{s} a+1, \dots, a+1, |$$

and then $C = (\boldsymbol{s_2, a+1}, \dots, a, s_1, a+1, \boldsymbol{s_2, a}, \dots, a+1, s_1, a)$ is not E_Λ-rigid.

(ii) and P does contain $a_n + 1$. Then

$$B^3 = |\dots, a, \underbrace{\overline{s_1}, a+1, |\overline{s_2},}_{s} a, \dots, a+1, \underbrace{s_1, a+1, |s_2,}_{s} a+1, \dots, a+1, |$$

and if $|s_2| < |\overline{s_2}|$ we have $C = (\overline{s_2}, a, \dots) = (s_2, a+1, \dots, s_2, a, \dots)$ which is not E_Λ-rigid. If $|s_1| < |\overline{s_1}|$ we have $C = (\dots, a, \overline{s_1}, a) = (\dots, a, s_1, a, \dots, a+1, s_1, a)$ which is not E_Λ-rigid (here $|s|$ denotes the length of the sequence s).

To show part (ii) of the lemma, assume that B and B' are rotation-equivalent. We have to show, that $C = C'$. We see immediately that $m = n$. If B is given by $(x_1 : 1,)$ then any rotation-equivalent band B' is given by $(x_1' : 1,)$. Thus C is associated to the sequence $(x_1 : 0)$ and C' to the sequence $(x_1' : 0)$. Then by definition we have $x_1, x_1' \in \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1\}$ and thus we must have $x_1 = x_1'$. Now assume that $a_n \geq 1$ and $a_n' \geq 1$. Then in particular we have $a_n + 1 \geq 2$ and $a_n' + 1 \geq 2$ and we can compare the vertices appearing in the top, middle and socle of the diagrams of B and B' to see that we must have $x_1 = x_1'$. Then the sequences $(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n + 1)$ and $(x_1 : a_1', \ldots, a_{n-1}', a_n' + 1)$ describe rotation-equivalent bands if and only if there exists some $2 \leq i \leq n$ such that $(a_1, \ldots, a_n + 1) = (a_i', \ldots, a_n' + 1, a_1', \ldots, a_{i-1})$. Then, by using the description of the corresponding E_Λ-rigid strings, it easily follows that $a := a_1 = a_1'$. Now let $1 \leq i \leq n$ be maximal with $a_j = a_j'$ for all $1 \leq j \leq i$ and assume without loss of generality that $a_{i+1} = a$ and $a_{i+1}' = a + 1$. Then because of the symmetry of the strings C and C' we have

$$C = (a_1, \ldots, a_i, a, \ldots, a, a_i, \ldots, a_1)$$

and

$$C' = (a_1, \ldots, a_i, a + 1, \ldots, a + 1, a_i, \ldots, a_1).$$

But then we have

$$B^2 = |a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_i, a, \ldots, a, \underbrace{a_i, \ldots, a_2, a_1 + 1|a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_i}_{=:s}, a, \ldots, a_1 + 1|$$

and

$$B'^2 = |a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_i, a + 1, \ldots, a + 1, \underbrace{a_i, \ldots, a_2, a_1 + 1|a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_i}_{=s}, a + 1, \ldots, a_1 + 1|$$

contradicting that B is strongly reduced if B and B' are rotation-equivalent. □

The next corollary is proven in a similar way as Corollary 3.9

Corollary 3.15. *Let C be E_Λ-rigid and B strongly reduced given by $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and $(x_1 : a_1', a_2', \ldots, a_m',)$ respectively. Set $a := a_1$ and assume $a = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n}\{a_i\}$. Then*

$$E_\Lambda(B, C) = 0 = E_\Lambda(C, B)$$

if and only if the following hold:

(i) If there is a subsequence s of $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and some positive integer k such that

$$(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = (s, a + 1 \ldots) \text{ and } (a_1', a_2', \ldots, a_m')^k = (\ldots, s, a_j' \ldots)$$

then $a_j' = a + 1$.

(ii) a)If there is a subsequence s of $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ such that

$$(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = (\ldots, a + 1, s, a + 1, \ldots)$$

and some positive integer k such that

$$(a_1', a_2', \ldots, a_m')^k = (\ldots a_j', s, a_{j+t}' \ldots)$$

then $a_j' = a + 1$ or $a_{j+t}' = a + 1$ (here $t = 1$ is possible).

b)The same statement as in a) holds with reversed roles of C and B.

(iii) The sequence

$$s =, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n,$$

(note the comma at the beginning and end of s) is no subsequence of $(a_1', a_2', \ldots, a_m')^k$ for any positive integer k.

4. The graph of strongly reduced components is connected

4.1. **Notation.** In this section we introduce a slightly more complicated notation for the strongly reduced components. This notation allows us to describe the neighbours of a given vertex of Γ explicitly. We describe the strongly reduced components by sequences $(x_1 : a|k_0|k_1|\dots|k_m)$, where $x_1 \in \{\alpha_1^{\pm}, \beta_1^{\pm}, \gamma_1^{\pm}\}$, and a, m and $k_0, \dots, k_m$ are natural numbers. More precisely let

$$\Psi = \{(x_1 : a|k_0|k_1|\dots|k_m) \,|x_1 \in \{\alpha_1^{\pm}, \beta_1^{\pm}, \gamma_1^{\pm}\}, m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a, k_i \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \text{ for all } 0 \leq i \leq m \text{ and } k_m \geq 2 \text{ if } m \geq 1\} \cup \{(x_1 : 0| \pm 1)|x_1 \in \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1\}\}$$

and let C be an E_Λ-rigid string given by a sequence $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$. We identify C with a unique element in Ψ. For x_1 in $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1\}$ we identify the negative simple representation $\mathcal{S}_i^-$ where $i \notin \{s(x_1), t(x_1)\}$ with $(x_1 : 0| - 1) \in \Psi$ and the string of length zero at $s(x_1)$, which was denoted by $(x_1 : 0)$ before, with $(x_1 : 0|1) \in \Psi$.

Now we deal with the more general cases and we drop the arrow $x_1 \in \{\alpha_1^{\pm}, \beta_1^{\pm}, \gamma_1^{\pm}\}$ in our notation.

We define the numbers k_i and subsequences $v_i = v_i^C$, $w_i = w_i^C$, and $P_{i-1} = P_{i-1}^C$ of $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ inductively for all $0 \leq i \leq m$. These subsequences obviously depend on C, but we will omit the superscript C to simplify notation. They will satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $v_i = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ for some $0 \leq k \leq n$ is symmetric and thus corresponds to an E_Λ-rigid string. Furthermore, we will have $v_m = (a_1, \dots, a_n) = C$.

(ii) $w_i = (a_1, \dots, a_k + 1,)$ differs from v_i only in the last entry and thus corresponds to a strongly reduced band. Also note that $w_i^\sigma = (, a_1 + 1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$.

(iii) $P_{-1} = (, a + 1,)$ and $P_{i-1} = P_{i-2}w_{i-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ is symmetric. Hence we have $P_{i-1} = w_{i-1}^\sigma P_{i-2}$.

(iv) If v_i appears as a subsequence in $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ it can only be followed by the subsequence $P_{i-1}v_i$ or $P_{i-1}w_i$.

(v) The number k_i is the maximal number of consecutive strings v_{i-1} in C, that is we cannot have

$$C = (\dots \underbrace{v_{i-1}P_{i-2}v_{i-1}\dots P_{i-2}v_{i-1}}_{k} \dots)$$

with $k > k_i$. On the other hand $k_i - 1$ is minimal in the sense, that we cannot have

$$C = (\dots P_{i-1} \underbrace{v_{i-1}P_{i-2}v_{i-1}\dots P_{i-2}v_{i-1}}_{k} P_{i-1} \dots)$$

with $k < k_i - 1$.

We begin by setting $a := a_1$ (thus we have $a_i \in \{a, a + 1\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ by Proposition 3.6 (ii)) and

$$k_0 = \max\{1 \leq i \leq n \mid a_j = a \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq i\}.$$

Furthermore, we define the sequences $v_0 = (a_1, \dots, a_{k_0}) = (a, \dots, a)$ and $w_0 = (a_1, \dots, a_{k_0-1}, a + 1) = (a, \dots, a, a + 1,)$ and $P_{-1} := w_{-1} := (, a + 1,)$.

If $k_0 = n$ we have $C = v_0$. Then we identify C with $(a|k_0)$ and are done. Note that in that case k_0 can take any value in $\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$.

Otherwise we know that $C = (v_0, a + 1, \dots) = (v_0P_{-1}\dots)$ and by the definitions of k_0, v_0, w_0 and P_{-1} and Proposition 3.6 it is easy to see, that conditions (i)-(v) are satisfied. This is the base case of our induction. Before proceeding with the general induction step, say from i to $i+1$, we will have a closer look at the step from 0 to 1. For that case notation is relatively simple and the general step is then done analogously.

We define k_1 to be the maximal number of consecutive strings v_0 in C in the sense that

$$C = (\underbrace{v_0 P_{-1} \dots P_{-1} v_0}_{k_1 \text{ times } v_0}, s)$$

such that either $s = \emptyset$ or $s = P_{-1}w_0 \dots$. We define sequences

$$v_1 = (\underbrace{v_0 P_{-1} \dots P_{-1} v_0}_{k_1 \text{ times } v_0}) \text{ and } w_1 = (\underbrace{v_0 P_{-1} \dots P_{-1} v_0}_{k_1 - 1 \text{ times } v_0} P_{-1} w_0) \text{ and } P_0 = P_{-1}w_0.$$

Then the conditions (i)-(iii) are satisfied by definition.

Again there are two possible cases. If s is the empty sequence, that is if $C = v_1$ we identify C with the sequence $(a|k_0|k_1)$. The conditions (iv) and (v) are obviously satisfied. Note that in this case $k_1 \geq 2$ because of the symmetry of C.

Now, if we suppose that $s = P_{-1}w_0 \dots$ it is possible, that $k_1 = 1$ and in that case we have $v_1 = v_0$ and $w_1 = w_0$. In any case, we have to check that k_1, v_1, w_1 and P_{-1} satisfy conditions (iv) and (v). Since v_1 ends with v_0, we know by induction that it can only be followed by $P_{-1}v_0$ or $P_{-1}w_0 = P_0$ in C. Suppose it is followed by $P_{-1}v_0$ at some point. Then we have

$$C = (v_1 P_{-1} w_0 \dots, v_1 P_{-1} v_0 \dots)$$

contradicting Proposition 3.6 (iii). Now if $v_1 P_0$ appears as a subsequence in C, it cannot be at the very end, because of the symmetry of C. Let s be the longest subsequence such that

$$C = (\dots, v_1 P_0 s \dots) \text{ and } v_1 = (s \dots).$$

If $s = v_1$ we are done. If s is a strict subsequence of v_1, then we know by symmetry of C, that it cannot be at its very end and thus

$$C = (\dots, v_1 P_0 s, b \dots) \text{ and } v_1 = (s, b' \dots)$$

with $\{b, b'\} = \{a, a+1\}$. If $b = a$ and $b' = a+1$ we have a contradiction with Proposition 3.6 (iii). Thus we have $b = a+1$ and $b' = a$. If $s, b, = w_1$ we are done. Otherwise we have $|s, a+1, | < |w_1|$ and then because

$$C = (v_1 P_0 \dots, v_1 P_0 s, a+1, \dots) = (v_0 P_{-1} \underbrace{v_0 P_{-1} \dots P_{-1} v_0 P_{-1} w_0}_{w_1} \dots w_0^{\sigma} P_{-1} s, a+1, \dots)$$

a contradiction with Proposition 3.6 (iv).

It is easy to see that k_1 (respectively $k_1 - 1$) satisfies the maximality (resp. minimality) condition in (v) because of Proposition 3.6 (iii) (respectively (iv)).

Now assume that the numbers k_j and the sequences v_j, w_j and P_{j-1} have been defined for $0 \leq j \leq i$ for some $1 \leq i$, satisfying the conditions (i)-(v). If $C = v_i$ we are done. Note that in that case, we have $k_i \geq 2$ because of the symmetry of C.

Otherwise we define k_{i+1} to be maximal number of consecutive strings v_i in C in the sense that

$$C = (\underbrace{v_i P_{i-1} \dots P_{i-1} v_i}_{k_{i+1} \text{ times } v_i}, s)$$

such that either $s = \emptyset$ or $s = P_{i-1}w_i \dots$. We define sequences

$$v_{i+1} = (\underbrace{v_i P_{i-1} \dots P_{i-1} v_i}_{k_{i+1} \text{ times } v_i}) \text{ and } w_{i+1} = (\underbrace{v_i P_{i-1} \dots P_{i-1} v_i}_{k_{i+1} - 1 \text{ times } v_i} P_{i-1} w_i) \text{ and } P_i = P_{i-1}w_i.$$

We first check that P_i is symmetric, then it follows by definition that v_{i+1} (resp. w_{i+1}) satisfies condition (i) (resp. condition (ii)). We have

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &= P_{i-1}w_i = P_{i-1}v_{i-1}P_{i-2}\dots P_{i-2}v_{i-1}P_{i-1} \\ &= P_{i-1}^{\sigma}v_{i-1}^{\sigma}(P_{i-2})^{\sigma}\dots(P_{i-2})^{\sigma}v_{i-1}^{\sigma}P_{i-1} = w_i^{\sigma}P_{i-1} = w_i^{\sigma}P_{i-1}^{\sigma} = (P_i)^{\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

where we used the definitions and the symmetry of v_{i-1}, P_{i-2} and P_{i-1}.

Now, if $s = \emptyset$ we have $C = v_{i+1}$, the conditions (i)-(v) are satisfied and we are done. In this case we must have $k_{i+1} \geq 2$ by symmetry of C.

On the other hand if we suppose that $s = P_{i-1}w_i...$ it is possible, that $k_{i+1} = 1$ and in that case we have $v_{i+1} = v_i$ and $w_{i+1} = w_i$. In any case, we have to check that k_{i+1}, v_{i+1}, w_{i+1} and P_i satisfy conditions (iv) and (v). This is done by induction, in exactly the same way as for the case $i = 0$ above.

Since the sequence $C = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ is finite this process has to stop eventually, say we have $C = v_m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In that case we have $k_m \geq 2$ by symmetry of C. Note, that the numbers k_i do not depend on each other and hence any element in Ψ corresponds to a unique E_Λ-rigid string.

We will do a very similar construction for strongly reduced bands. In fact, any strongly reduced band B will be associated with an element $(a|k_0|k_1|\dots|k_m) \in \Psi$ where the numbers k_i and sequences v_i, w_i and P_{i-1} will be defined inductively in the same way as for strings, such that B is given by the sequence w_m.

Let $B = (a_1, \dots, a_n,)$ be a strongly reduced band. After possibly rotating B we can assume that $a_1 = a := \min_{1\leq i\leq n}\{a_i\}$. Then by Lemma 3.12(i) we have $a_i \in \{a, a+1\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $n = 1$ then we have $B = (a,) = (a-1|1) = w_0$ and we are done. Otherwise since B is not a power of any other band and a was chosen minimal, we have $a_i = a + 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Hence we can choose

$$\widetilde{k_0} = \max\{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} | \exists \text{ a subsequence } a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{i+j} \text{ of } B^\infty \text{ with } a_{i+1} = \dots = a_{i+j} = a\}$$

and after possibly rotating we can assume that

$$B = (\underbrace{a, \dots, a}_{\widetilde{k_0}}, a+1, s).$$

If $s = \emptyset$ we set $k_0 = \widetilde{k_0} + 1$ and define v_0, w_0 and P_{-1} correspondingly as before. Then $B = w_0$ and we are done.

Otherwise set $k_0 = \widetilde{k_0}$ and define v_0, w_0 and P_{-1} correspondingly. Now since B is not a power of any other band and since k_0 was chosen maximal, we must have $P_{-1}w_0$ as a subsequence of B^∞. Hence we can choose

$$\widetilde{k_1} := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} | \underbrace{v_0, a+1, v_0, \dots, a+1, v_0}_{j \text{ copies of } v_0} \text{ is a subsequence of } B^\infty\}$$

and after possibly rotating we can assume

$$B = (\underbrace{v_0P_{-1}v_0\dots P_{-1}v_0}_{\widetilde{k_1}}P_{-1}w_0s).$$

If $s = \emptyset$ set $k_1 = \widetilde{k_1} + 1$ and define v_1, w_1 and P_0 correspondingly as before. Then $B = w_1 = (a|k_0|k_1)$ and we are done.

Otherwise set $k_1 = \widetilde{k_1}$ and define v_1, w_1 and P_0 correspondingly. Then k_1 satisfies the maximality condition by definition. We only need to show, that in this case B^∞ satisfies the condition (iv). This can be done using the same arguments as for the E_Λ-rigid strings. Then we proceed inductively defining the numbers k_i. If k_i and thus v_i,w_i and P_{i-1} are

defined we know that since B is not a power of any other band, at some point we must have $v_i P_{i-1} w_i$ as a subsequence of B^∞. Hence we can define k_{i+1} as the number satisfying the maximality condition. Then proceed in the same way as in the step from 0 to 1. Since the sequence $(a_1, \dots, a_n,)$ is finite, this process has to stop eventually.

In the following we will give some examples to make this notation more transparent.

Example 2. Let C be an E_Λ-rigid string given by the sequence

$$(a, a, a, a, a+1, a, a, a, a+1, a, a, a, a, a+1, a, a, a, a+1, a, a, a, a).$$

Then $k_0 = 4$ and $v_0 = a, a, a, a$ and $P_{-1} =, a+1,$, $k_1 = 1$ and $v_1 = v_0$ and $P_0 = , a+1, a, a, a, a+1,$, $k_2 = 3$ and $C = v_2 = v_1 P_0 v_1 P_0 v_1 = (a|4|1|3)$.

Now let $C = (a, a, a, a+1, a, a, a, a+1, a, a, a, a+1, a, a, a, a+1, a, a, a)$ be an E_Λ-rigid string. Then $k_0 = 3$ and $v_0 = a, a, a$ and $P_{-1} =, a+1$, and $k_1 = 5$ and $C = v_1 = (a|3|5)$.

Let $(a|1|1|1|2)$ be in Ψ. Then we have $v_0 = a$ and $v_0 = v_1 = v_2$ and $w_{-1} = a+1$, and $w_{-1} = w_0 = w_1$ and hence

$$C = v_3 = v_2 P_1 v_2 = (a, w_{-1} w_0 w_1 a) = (a, a+1, a+1, a+1, a).$$

4.2. **Mutation of E_Λ-rigid components.** We are now ready to describe the structure of the graph Γ explicitly. In the figures below we depicted all the neighbours of $\mathcal{S}_3^-$ and $\mathcal{S}_1$. The edges incident to the other simple and negative simple decorated representations are similar. To simplify the complicated picture we leave out the loops (at all the vertices).





It is rather easy to see that this actually is a subgraph of Γ and that these have to be all the edges incident to $\mathcal{S}_3^-$ and $\mathcal{S}_1$. In the case of $\mathcal{S}_3^-$ this follows directly from [CLS, Lemma 5.4.]. For $\mathcal{S}_1$ it follows by a case by case study, similar to the ones we have seen before and is therefore omitted here. Up to equivalence the only strings that can appear as neighbours of $\mathcal{S}_1$ are given by sequences of the form $(\gamma_1^- : 1|k_0)$ and $(\alpha_1 : 1|k_0)$ for $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Similar considerations show that the band given by the sequence $(\alpha_1 : 1,)$ is the only band connected with $\mathcal{S}_1$ via an edge.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $C = (x_1 : a_1, \dots, a_n)$ given by $(x_1 : a|k_0| \dots |k_{m-1}|k_m) \in \Psi$ with $a > 0$ an E_Λ-rigid string. Then there are (precisely) two E_Λ-rigid strings $C_1 = (x_1 : b_1, \dots, b_s)$*

and $C_2 = (x_1 : b'_1, \dots, b'_t)$ *with* $s, t \leq n$ *which are neighbours of* C *in* Γ. *These are*

$$C_1 = \begin{cases} (x_1 : a|k_0|\dots|k_{m-1}|k_m - 1) & \text{if } k_m \geq 3 \text{ or if } m = 0 \text{ and } k_0 = 2 \\ (x_1 : a|k_0|\dots|k_j) & \text{if } m \geq 1 \text{ and } k_m = 2 \\ (x_1 : 0| - 1) & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ and } k_0 = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $j := \max\{1 \leq i \leq m-1 \mid k_i \neq 1\}$.

$$C_2 := \begin{cases} (x_1 : a|k_0|\dots|k_{m-1} + 1) & \text{if } m \geq 1 \\ (x_1 : a - 1|1) & \text{if } m = 0. \end{cases}$$

and in particular we have $s + t = n$ *and if* $n > 1$, *then we have*

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, \dots, b_s, b'_1 + 1, b'_2, \dots, b'_t).$$

Now the description of the neighbours of a given E_Λ-rigid string is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.1.

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $C = (x_1 : a_1, \dots, a_n)$ *given by* $(x_1 : a|k_0|\dots|k_{m-1}|k_m) \in \Psi$ *with* $a > 0$ *an* E_Λ*-rigid string and* C_1 *and* C_2 *as in Lemma 4.1. If we set*

$$C_3 := (x_1 : a|k_0|\dots|k_{m-1}|k_m + 1),$$

$$C_4^j := (x_1 : a|k_0|\dots|k_{m-1}|k_m|\underbrace{1|\dots|1}_{j}|2) \text{ with } j \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$C_5^\ell := \begin{cases} (x_1 : a|k_0|\dots|k_{m-1}|k_m - 1|\ell) & \text{if } k_m \geq 2 \\ (x_1 : a + 1|\ell - 1) & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ and } k_0 = 1 \end{cases}$$

where $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$.

then in Γ *we have the full subgraph*



and these are all E_Λ*-rigid neighbours of* C.

Proposition 4.3. *If* Z_1, Z_2 *are indecomposable* E_Λ*-rigid components in* $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ *that are connected by an edge in* Γ, *then there exist precisely two different indecomposable* E_Λ*-rigid components* $Z_3, Z'_3 \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ *such that* $\{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3\}$ *and* $\{Z_1, Z_2, Z'_3\}$ *are* E_Λ*-rigid component clusters.*

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We will first show that C_1 and C_2 are in fact neighbours of C by checking the conditions in Corollary 3.9.

If $m = 0$ then $C = (a_1, \dots, a_{k_0 - 1}, a_{k_0}) = (a, \dots, a, a)$ and we only have to check conditions (i) and (iv). Since we have $C_1 = (a_1, \dots, a_{k_0 - 1}) = (a, \dots, a)$ if $k_0 > 1$ and $C_2 = (a - 1)$ these conditions are obviously satisfied.

So now suppose that $m \geq 1$. If $k_m \geq 3$ then $v_{m-1} = v_{m-1}^C = v_{m-1}^{C_1}$ and we have

$$C = \underbrace{v_{m-1}P_{m-2}\dots P_{m-2}v_{m-1}P_{m-2}v_{m-1}}_{k_m \text{ copies of } v_{m-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 = \underbrace{v_{m-1}P_{m-2}\dots P_{m-2}v_{m-1}}_{k_m - 1 \text{ copies of } v_{m-1}}$$

and hence if $C_1 = (s, a+1, \ldots)$ for some subsequence s, then also $C = (s, a+1, \ldots)$. Since C_1 is E_Λ-rigid we see Corollary 3.9(ii)a) is satisfied. On the other hand suppose $C = (s, a+1, \ldots)$ and $C_1 = (\ldots, s, a \ldots)$. Then we also have $C = (\ldots, s, a \ldots)$ contradicting that C is E_Λ-rigid. If $C_1 = (\ldots, a+1, s, a+1, \ldots)$ then also $C = (\ldots, a+1, s, a+1, \ldots)$ and since C is E_Λ-rigid we see that Corollary 3.9(iii)a) is satisfied. Now let $C = (\ldots, a+1, s, a+1, \ldots)$ and $C_1 = (\ldots a, s, a \ldots)$. Then again we see that $C = (\ldots a, s, a \ldots)$ contradicting that C is E_Λ-rigid. Finally we have to check if $C = (\ldots, C_1, \ldots)$. But, since C_1 begins with v_{m-1} we know by the maximality of k_{m-1} that the only copies of C_1 in C are the ones at the very beginning and the end of C.

If $k_m = 2$ then we have

$$C = v_m = v_{m-1}P_{m-2}v_{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 = v_j^{C_1} = v_j^C = v_{m-1}^C$$

where the last equality holds by choice of j. Now the same considerations as above show, that C and C_1 satisfy the conditions in Corollary 3.9.

Now if $m \geq 1$ then $v_{m-2} = v_{m-2}^C = v_{m-2}^{C_2}$ and we have

$$C_2 = v_{m-1}^{C_2} = v_{m-1}^C P_{m-3} v_{m-2} = v_{m-2}^C P_{m-3} v_{m-1}$$

and recall that $P_{m-2} = P_{m-3}w_{m-2}$ and w_{m-2} and v_{m-2} only differ in their last entry. Thus if $C_2 = (s, a+1, \ldots)$, then we also have $C = (s, a+1, \ldots)$ and cannot have $C = (s, a+1, \ldots, s, a, \ldots)$ thus Corollary 3.9(ii)a) is satisfied. If $C = (s, a+1, \ldots)$ and $|s, a+1| < |C_2|$ then we also have $C_2 = (s, a+1, \ldots)$ (here $|C|$ is the length of the sequence describing C, i.e. if C is given by $(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ then $|C| = n$). If $|s, a+1| \geq |C_2|$, then we cannot have $C_2 = (\ldots, s, a \ldots)$ (the comma in front of the sequence s is essential).

If $C_2 = (\ldots, a+1, s, a+1, \ldots)$ then we also have $C = (\ldots, a+1, s, a+1, \ldots)$ and thus Corollary 3.9(iii)a) is satisfied. If $C = (\ldots, a+1, s, a+1, \ldots)$ such that $|, a+1, s, a+1, | \leq |C_2|$ we can always write $s = s_1, s_2$ such that either $C_2 = (\ldots, a+1, s_1, s_2, a+1, \ldots)$ or $C_2 = (\ldots, a+1, s_1)$ or $C_2 = (s_2, a+1, \ldots)$. In any case, it cannot happen that $C_2 = (\ldots, a, s_1, s_2, a, \ldots)$. Finally, since C_2 begins with v_{m-1}^C we know by the maximality of k_{m-1} that there are no copies of C_2 in C.

Now let $C' = (a'_1, \ldots, a'_r)$ be an E_Λ-rigid neighbour of C with $r \leq n$. Then C' is given by some sequence $(a|k'_0|\ldots|k'_p)$ and we have to show, that C' is either C_1 or C_2. The cases $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ are easily dealt with, so we assume $m \geq 2$.

Now if $p \geq m$, we show by induction that $k'_i = k_i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since $m > 0$ by definition we have

$$C = (\underbrace{a, \ldots, a}_{k_0}, a+1, \ldots, a+1, \underbrace{a, \ldots, a}_{k_0 - 1}, a)$$

and

$$C' = (\underbrace{a, \ldots, a}_{k'_0}, a+1, \ldots, a+1, \underbrace{a, \ldots, a}_{k'_0 - 1}, a).$$

By Corollary 3.9(ii) we get $k_0 = k'_0$. Now let $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ and assume $k_j = k'_j$ for all $0 \leq j \leq i-1$. Then $v_{i-1} = v_{i-1}^C = v_{i-1}^{C'}$ and since $m > i$ by definition we have

$$C = \underbrace{v_{i-1}P_{i-2}\ldots P_{i-2}v_{i-1}}_{k_i \text{ copies of } v_{i-1}} P_{i-2}v_{i-1} \underbrace{v_{i-1}P_{i-2}\ldots P_{i-2}v_{i-1}}_{k_i - 1 \text{ copies of } v_{i-1}} P_{i-2}v_{i-1}$$

and

$$C' = \underbrace{v_{i-1}P_{i-2}\ldots P_{i-2}v_{i-1}}_{k'_i \text{ copies of } v_{i-1}} P_{i-1} \ldots \underbrace{v_{i-1}P_{i-2}\ldots P_{i-2}v_{i-1}}_{k'_i - 1 \text{ copies of } v_{i-1}} P_{i-1} \ldots .$$

Since $P_{i-1} = P_{i-2}w_{i-1}$ we have $k_i = k'_i$ (using Corollary 3.9(ii)). Since we assume, that $r \leq n$ we must have $k'_m < k_m$ and $p = m$ and we see by Corollary 3.9(iv) that $C' = C_1$.

If we assume that now $p < m$ then by the same argument as before we have $k'_i = k_i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. Considering the different cases $k'_p < k_p$, $k'_p = k_p$ and $k'_p > k_p$ we get a contradiction in the first case, $C' = C_1$ in the second and $C' = C_2$ in the third. □

4.3. **Connectedness of the graph.**

Lemma 4.4. *Let B be a strongly reduced band given by the sequence $(x_1 : a|k_0|\dots|k_m)$. Then there is an edge in Γ between B and the string encoded by the same sequence.*

Proof. In the following we will write B^∞ to imply that we consider a sufficiently large number of copies of the sequence $(a_1, \dots, a_n,)$. This will be denoted by

$$B^\infty = \dots a_n, |a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, |a_1, \dots.$$

Assume Corollary 3.15(i) is violated. Hence we have $C = (s, a+1, \dots)$ and for some positive integer k we have $, s, a,$ as a subsequence in B^k. Now if this subsequence was contained in only one copy of B it would also be a subsequence of C. This is impossible since C is E_Λ-rigid. Hence we can consider

$$B^3 = |\dots, a+1, |s, a+1, \dots, \underbrace{s_1, a+1, |s_2}_{s}, a, \dots, a+1, |.$$

In that case we have

$$C = (\underbrace{\boldsymbol{s_1, a+1}, s_2}_{s}, a+1, \dots, \boldsymbol{s_1, a})$$

contradicting C being E_Λ-rigid.

That C and B^k satisfy condition (ii) for every positive integer k is easily verified. If $a+1, s, a+1$ is a subsequence in C it also is a subsequence in B^k. Now assume that $a+1, s, a+1$ is a subsequence of B^2 such that

$$B^2 = |\dots, a+1, \underbrace{s_1, |s_2}_{s}, a+1, \dots, a+1, |$$

and $C = (\dots, a, s, a, \dots)$. Then either $B = (\dots a, s, a, \dots)$ which contradicts B being strongly reduced or $C = (\boldsymbol{s_2, a+1}, \dots, a, s_1, \boldsymbol{s_2, a})$ which contradicts C being E_Λ-rigid.

Finally assume that for some positive integer k a copy of C is a subsequence of B^k. Then we have

$$B^2 = |\dots, \underbrace{P, a+1, |Q}_{C}, \dots|.$$

and therefore $C = (P, a+1, \dots, P, a)$ which is again a contradiction. Hence there is an edge between C and B in $\Gamma(\Lambda)$. □

Theorem 4.5. *The graph of strongly reduced components is connected. The full subgraph on the E_Λ-rigid components is also connected.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 it follows that any E_Λ-rigid string is in the same component as the negative simple ones. Hence the second part of the Theorem follows. By Lemma 4.4 any strongly reduced band is connected with an E_Λ-rigid string. □

Remark 4.6. Let Q be the Markov quiver and $\mathcal{A}_Q$ the corresponding cluster algebra. Then the cluster monomials $\mathcal{M}_Q$ of $\mathcal{A}_Q$ are precisely the generic Caldero-Chapoton functions of indecomposable E_Λ-rigid components in $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$. This follows from the construction in [DWZ2, Corollary 5.3] of cluster variables as Caldero-Chapoton functions. These representations are gained by iterated mutation from the negative simple representations of Λ.

These representations are all E_Λ-rigid, since $E_\Lambda(\mathcal{M})$ is invariant under mutation ([DWZ2, Theorem 7.1]). On the other hand by Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.5 it follows that the decorated representations obtained from mutation of the negative simples coincide with the family of indecomposable E_Λ-rigid decorated representations.

5. Component clusters

Lemma 5.1. *Let B be a strongly reduced band given by the sequence $(a|k_0|\dots|k_m)$. Then the E_Λ-rigid string C given by the same sequence is the only neighbour of B in Γ.*

Proof. Let $(a|k_0'|\dots|k_p')\in\Psi$ differing from $(a|k_0|\dots|k_m)$ in at least one entry and let C' (respectively B') be the corresponding string (respectively band). Assume that $p\geq m$ (the other case is similar) and let $i=\min\{i\in\mathbb{N}\mid k_i\neq k_i'\}$. Then $v_{i-1}=v_{i-1}^C=v_{i-1}^B$. We will show, that if $k_i<k_i'$, there exists a sequence s such that

$$\begin{aligned} B^\infty &= \dots P_{i-1}sP_{i-1}\dots = \dots a+1,\tilde{s},a+1\dots\\ B'^\infty &= \dots v_{i-1}P_{i-2}sP_{i-1}v_{i-1}\dots = \dots,a,\tilde{s},a,\dots\\ C &= v_{i-1}P_{i-2}sP_{i-2}v_{i-1}\dots = (a,\tilde{s},a\dots)\end{aligned}$$

and hence there is a non-trivial homomorphism from B to B' and from B to C'. The case $k_i>k_i'$ is dealt with similarly. For simplicity we will assume that $k_i=2$ and $k_i'=3$.

First assume that $i<m\leq p$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} B^\infty &= \dots P_{i-1}|v_{i-1}P_{i-2}v_{i-1}P_{i-1}\dots\boldsymbol{P_{i-1}v_{i-1}P_{i-1}}|v_{i-1}\dots\\ B'^\infty &= \dots P_{i-1}|\boldsymbol{v_{i-1}P_{i-2}v_{i-1}P_{i-2}v_{i-1}}P_{i-1}\dots\\ C &= (\boldsymbol{v_{i-1}P_{i-2}v_{i-1}P_{i-2}v_{i-1}}\dots)\end{aligned}$$

If $i=m=p$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B^\infty &= \dots\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}|\boldsymbol{v_{m-1}P_{m-1}}|\boldsymbol{v_{m-1}P_{m-1}}|v_{m-1}\dots\\ B'^\infty &= \dots P_{m-1}|\boldsymbol{v_{m-1}P_{m-2}v_{m-1}P_{m-1}}|\boldsymbol{v_{m-1}P_{m-2}}v_{m-1}P_{m-1}|\dots\\ C &= (\boldsymbol{v_{m-1}P_{m-2}v_{m-1}P_{m-2}v_{m-1}})\end{aligned}$$

and if $m<i\leq p$ and we assume that $k_m=2=k_m'$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B^\infty &= \dots\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}|\boldsymbol{v_{m-1}P_{m-1}}|(\boldsymbol{v_{m-1}P_{m-1}}|)^{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{v_{m-1}P_{m-1}}\dots\\ B'^\infty &= \dots P_{m-1}|\boldsymbol{v_{m-1}P_{m-2}v_{m-1}P_{m-1}(v_{m-1}P_{m-1})^x v_{m-1}P_{m-2}v_{m-1}}\dots\\ C &= (\boldsymbol{v_{m-1}P_{m-2}v_{m-1}P_{m-1}(v_{m-1}P_{m-1})^x v_{m-1}P_{m-2}v_{m-1}}\dots)\end{aligned}$$

where x depends on $k_{m+1}',\dots,k_p'$. If $k_{m+1}'\geq 2$, then $x=0$ and $(v_{m-1}P_{m-1})^0$ is just the empty sequence. If $k_{m+1}'=1$, we have to use that then $v_{m+1}^C=v_m^C=v_m^B$. □

The next theorem is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 5.1.

Theorem 5.2. *There is a bijection between the E_Λ-rigid indecomposable components $Z\in\operatorname{decIrr}^{\text{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ and the non E_Λ-rigid indecomposable components $\overline{Z}\in\operatorname{decIrr}^{\text{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$, such that if Z and $\overline{Z}$ are identified under this bijection then $\{Z,\overline{Z}\}$ is a component cluster and these are all non E_Λ-rigid component clusters.*

Corollary 5.3. *(i) The E_Λ-rigid component clusters of Λ are exactly the component clusters of cardinality 3.*

(ii) The non E_Λ-rigid component clusters of Λ are exactly the component clusters of cardinality 2.

6. The **g**-vectors

In this section we determine the generic **g**-vectors of the strongly reduced indecomposable components for Λ using Proposition 2.1. Let $I_i \in \mathrm{rep}(\Lambda_p)$ be the injective envelope of the simple representation S_i for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. It is known that I_i can be described by the paths in Q that are ending in the vertex i. Let us first determine the **g**-vectors for the string module (respectively band module), where the string (respectively band), is given by a sequence $(x_1 : 0)$ (respectively $(x_1 : 0,)$) for $x_1 \in \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1\}$. Let $p = 2$. If $x_1 = \alpha_1$ the string module under consideration is the simple module S_1. Then the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S_1 \xrightarrow{f} I_0^{\Lambda_p}(S_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(f)$$

can be visualized in the diagrams



and $g_\Lambda(S_1) = (-1, 0, 2)$. Similarly we find $g_\Lambda(S_2) = (2, -1, 0)$ and $g_\Lambda(S_3) = (0, 2, -1)$. The band module in this case is given by the band $B = \alpha_2^- \alpha_1$. In the following we choose $\lambda \in K^*$ and set $M(B) := M(B, \lambda, 1)$. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M(B) \xrightarrow{f} I_0^{\Lambda_p}(M(B)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(f)$$

can be visualized in the diagrams



and $g_\Lambda(M(B)) = (1, -1, 0)$. Similarly we find that $g_\Lambda(M(\beta_1 : 0,)) = (0, 1, -1)$ and $g_\Lambda(M(\gamma_1 : 0,)) = (-1, 0, 1)$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $Z \in \mathrm{Irr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ be a strongly reduced indecomposable component corresponding to a string C or band B and let $g_\Lambda = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$ be its generic* **g***-vector.*

(i) If $C = (x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ with $a_1 \geq 1$ set $a := a_1 + \cdots + a_n$. Then

- *if $x_1 = \alpha_1$ we have $g_\Lambda = (-n + a, -a, n + 1)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \beta_1$ we have $g_\Lambda = (n + 1, -n + a, -a)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \gamma_1$ we have $g_\Lambda = (-a, n + 1, -n + a)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \alpha_1^-$ we have $g_\Lambda = (2 + a, n - 2 - a, -n + 1)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \beta_1^-$ we have $g_\Lambda = (-n + 1, 2 + a, n - 2 - a)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \gamma_1^-$ we have $g_\Lambda = (n - 2 - a, -n + 1, 2 + a)$.*

(ii) If $B = (x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n,)$ with $a_1 \geq 1$ set $a := a_1 + \cdots + a_n$. Then

- *if $x_1 = \alpha_1$ we have $g_\Lambda = (-n + a, -a, n)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \beta_1$ we have $g_\Lambda = (n, -n + a, -a)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \gamma_1$ we have $g_\Lambda = (-a, n, -n + a)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \alpha_1^-$ we have $g_\Lambda = (a, n - a, -n)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \beta_1^-$ we have $g_\Lambda = (-n, a, n - a)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \gamma_1^-$ we have $g_\Lambda = (n - a, -n, a)$.*

Proof. The Jordan-Hölder multiplicity $[\operatorname{soc} M(C) : S_i]$ equals the number of appearances of the vertex i in the socle of the diagram of C. The diagram of C is



and thus $[\operatorname{soc}(M(C)) : S_1] = [\operatorname{soc}(M(C)) : S_3] = 0$ and $[\operatorname{soc}(M(C)) : S_2] = (a_1 + \cdots + a_n)$. Therefore for $p > \operatorname{nil}_\Lambda(M(C)) = 3$ in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M(C) \xrightarrow{f} I_0^{\Lambda_p}(M(C)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker}(f)$$

we have

$$I_0^{\Lambda_p}(M(C)) \cong I_2^{a_1+\cdots+a_n}$$

and it is easily seen that

$$[\operatorname{soc}(\operatorname{Coker}(f)) : S_1] = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i - 1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad [\operatorname{soc}(\operatorname{Coker}(f)) : S_3] = n + 1.$$

This concludes the proof of part (i).

Similarly, we can see that if B is a band corresponding to a sequence $(\alpha_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n,)$ then $[\operatorname{soc}(M(B)) : S_1] = [\operatorname{soc}(M(B)) : S_1] = 0$ and $[\operatorname{soc}(M(B)) : S_2] = (a_1 + \cdots + a_n)$. Therefore we have

$$I_0^{\Lambda_p}(M(B)) \cong I_2^{a_1+\cdots+a_n}$$

and it is easily seen that

$$[\operatorname{soc}(\operatorname{Coker}(f)) : S_1] = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(a_i - 1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad [\operatorname{soc}(\operatorname{Coker}(f)) : S_3] = n.$$

The other cases are symmetric or are proven in a similar way. □

Note that the **g**-vectors corresponding to the E_Λ-rigid strongly reduced indecomposable components all lie in the plane $x + y + z = 1$. The **g**-vectors corresponding to the non E_Λ-rigid strongly reduced components all lie in the plane $x + y + z = 0$. A more detailed description of the **g**-vectors will be given in the next section.

7. The finite-dimensional Jacobian algebra

In this section we want to deduce the graph of strongly reduced components of Λ' from our previous description of the graph of strongly reduced components of Λ. We will show that all strongly reduced components of Λ' arise from strongly reduced components of Λ or their Auslander-Reiten translates. More precisely, let $Z \in \operatorname{Irr}^{\text{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ indecomposable. Then Z corresponds to a string described by a sequence $(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ (respectively a band described by a sequence $(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n,)$). Hence the corresponding string module M (respectively the 1-parameter family of band modules M) satisfies $\operatorname{nil}_\Lambda(M) \leq 3$. Now since $\Lambda'_5 = \Lambda_5$ we can consider M as a module over Λ' and by Prop. 2.1 we see that

$$E_{\Lambda'}(M) = E_\Lambda(M).$$

By abuse of notation we will denote the corresponding indecomposable strongly reduced component in $\mathrm{Irr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda')$ also by Z. Define its Auslander-Reiten translate by

$$\tau_{\Lambda'}Z = \overline{\{\tau_{\Lambda'}(M) \mid M \in Z\}}$$

and we claim that this is again an indecomposable strongly reduced component in $\mathrm{Irr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda')$. Since Λ' is finite-dimensional we have $\mathrm{nil}_{\Lambda'}(\tau_{\Lambda'}(M)) \leq \dim \Lambda'$ and thus can apply Proposition 2.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\Lambda'}(\tau_{\Lambda'}(M)) &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda'}(\tau_{\Lambda'}^{-1}(\tau_{\Lambda'}(M)), \tau_{\Lambda'}(M)) \\ &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda'}(M, \tau_{\Lambda'}(M)) \\ &= \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda'}(\tau_{\Lambda'}^{-1}(M), M) = E_{\Lambda'}(M) \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from Lemma 2.4. Now since Λ' is tame, the claim follows. For the negative simple representations it does not make sense to apply the Auslander-Reiten translate. However, in this context, it fits perfectly to define $\tau_{\Lambda'}(\mathcal{S}_i^-) = I_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ because of the following reasoning: Let $M \in \mathrm{mod}(\Lambda)$ be E_Λ-rigid. Then $M \oplus \mathcal{S}_i^-$ is $E_{\Lambda'}$-rigid if and only if $\dim(M_i) = 0$. This is equivalent to $\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\Lambda'}(M, I_i) = 0$, which in turn is equivalent to $\tau_{\Lambda'}M \oplus I_i$ being $E_{\Lambda'}$-rigid. The above discussion yields the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ a strongly reduced component. Then Z is a strongly reduced component in $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda')$. Moreover, the component defined by*

$$\tau_{\Lambda'}Z = \overline{\{\tau_{\Lambda'}(M) \mid M \in Z\}}$$

is a strongly reduced component in $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda')$.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $Z \in \mathrm{Irr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ be an E_Λ-rigid indecomposable component corresponding to a string C given by a sequence $(x_1 : a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ with $a_1 \geq 1$ and set $a := a_1 + \cdots + a_n$. Let $\tau_{\Lambda'}Z$ be the $E_{\Lambda'}$-rigid component corresponding to $\tau_{\Lambda'}C$ and let $g_{\Lambda'} = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$ be its generic $\mathbf{g}$-vector. Then the following hold:*

- *if $x_1 = \alpha_1$ then we have $g_{\Lambda'} = (-n + 2 + a, -a - 2, n - 1)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \beta_1$ then we have $g_{\Lambda'} = (n - 1, -n + 2 + a, -a - 2)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \gamma_1$ then we have $g_{\Lambda'} = (-a - 2, n - 1, -n + 2 + a)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \alpha_1^-$ we have $g_{\Lambda'} = (a, -n - 1, -a + n)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \beta_1^-$ we have $g_{\Lambda'} = (-a + n, a, -n - 1)$;*
- *if $x_1 = \gamma_1^-$ we have $g_{\Lambda'} = (-n - 1, -a + n, a)$.*

Proof. Computing a minimal projective presentation of $M(C)$ over Λ' and then using Remark 2.3 yields the result. The computation of the projective presentation is done in a similar way, as the injective presentation in the proof of Theorem 6.1. The indecomposable projective Λ'-modules can be found in [P]. □

In fact, all strongly reduced components of Λ' arise in the way described in Prop. 7.1. We want to show this using the parametrization in Theorem 2.5 of the strongly reduced components by their generic $\mathbf{g}$-vectors. We set

$$\mathcal{G} = \{g_{\Lambda'}(Z) \mid Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda') \text{ indecompasable}\}$$

and call two vectors $g_{\Lambda'}(Z)$ and $g_{\Lambda'}(Z')$ in $\mathcal{G}$ *compatible*, if $E_{\Lambda'}(Z, Z') = 0$. Our aim is to show that any vector in $\mathbb{Z}^3$ is a nonnegative $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of pairwise compatible $\mathbf{g}$-vectors in $\mathcal{G}$. This follows from a connection between our work and work by Nathan Reading on universal geometric cluster algebras. In order to illustrate this connection we recall some of Readings definitions and results. We refer to [R2] for details on universal

geometric cluster algebras in general, to [R3] for universal geometric cluster algebras from surfaces and to [R1] for a detailed construction of the universal geometric coefficients for the once-punctured torus.

Denote by $(\mathbf{S}, p)$ the once-punctured torus, and let

$$B = B_Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

be a skew-symmetric exchange matrix (associated to a triangulation of $(\mathbf{S}, p)$) and $\mathcal{A}_B = \mathcal{A}_Q$ the corresponding cluster algebra. In [R1] Reading describes a *positive $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for B^T* (a "mutation-linear" analogue of the usual notion of a basis) in terms of Farey points and thus by [R2, Theorem 4.4] universal geometric coefficients for B^T.

A *Farey point* is a pair of integers (a, b) such that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ with the appropriate conventions for the gcd. A *standard Farey point* is a Farey point (a, b) such that $a \geq 0$ and $b = 1$ if $a = 0$. Two (standard) Farey points (a, b) and (c, d) are called *(standard) Farey neighbours* if $ad - bc = \pm 1$. A *Farey triple* consists of three Farey points which are pairwise Farey neighbours.

Let T_0 be a triangulation of $(\mathbf{S}, p)$ such that $B(T_0)$ is the transpose B^T. Reading shows in [R1, Corollary 3.2] that a positive $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for B^T can be constructed as the shear coordinates of allowable curves in $(\mathbf{S}, p)$. He has the following result.

Proposition 7.3. [R1, Prop 5.1.] *The shear coordinates with respect to T_0 of allowable curves in $(\mathbf{S}, p)$ are as follows:*

(i) The cyclic permutations of $(1 - b, a + 1, b - a - 1)$ for Farey points (a, b) with $a \geq 0$ and $b > 0$ (corresponding to curves with counterclockwise spirals).

(ii) The cyclic permutations of $(-1 - b, a - 1, b - a + 1)$ for Farey points (a, b) with $a > 0$ and $b \geq 0$ (corresponding to curves with clockwise spirals).

(iii) The nonzero integer vectors (x, y, z) with $x + y + z = 0$ such that x, y and z have no common factors (corresponding to closed curves).

Two allowable curves in $(\mathbf{S}, p)$ are *compatible* if they do not intersect. Let (a, b) be a standard Farey point. Following Readings notation we denote by $cl(a, b), cw(a, b)$ and $ccw(a, b)$ the associated allowable closed curve, curve with clockwise spirals and curve with counterclockwise spirals respectively.

Proposition 7.4. [R1, Prop 4.6.] *The compatible pairs of allowable curves in $(\mathbf{S}, p)$ are:*

(i) $cl(a, b)$ and $cw(a, b)$ for any standard Farey point (a, b).

(ii) $cl(a, b)$ and $ccw(a, b)$ for any standard Farey point (a, b).

(iii) $cw(a, b)$ and $cw(c, d)$ for standard Farey neighbours (a, b) and (c, d).

(iv) $ccw(a, b)$ and $ccw(c, d)$ for standard Farey neighbours (a, b) and (c, d).

By [R3, Proposition 5.2.] the $\mathbf{g}$-vectors of cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}_B$ are given by shear coordinates of allowable curves, that are not closed and with counterclockwise spirals. Furthermore, two $\mathbf{g}$-vectors are *compatible*, i.e. the corresponding cluster variables belong to the same cluster, if and only if the the corresponding curves are compatible. He thus recovers a result by Nájera [N].

Proposition 7.5. [R1, Corollary 7.3.] *The $\mathbf{g}$-vectors of cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}_B$ are the cyclic permutations of vectors $(1 - b, a + 1, b - a - 1)$, for standard Farey points (a, b). Two $\mathbf{g}$-vectors are compatible if and only if the corresponding Farey points are Farey neighbours.*

Corollary 7.6. *The set $\mathcal{G}$ is given by the vectors described in Proposition 7.3 and thus is a positive $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for B^T. Two generic $\mathbf{g}$-vectors in $\mathcal{G}$ are compatible if and only if the corresponding allowable curves are compatible.*

Proof. By Remark 4.6 we know that the subset $\mathcal{G}'$ of $\mathcal{G}$ given by the $\mathbf{g}$-vectors $g_{\Lambda'}(Z)$ where $Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ is E_Λ-rigid and indecomposable is the set of $\mathbf{g}$-vectors of the cluster variables of $\mathcal{A}_B$. Hence by Proposition 7.5 they are precisely given by the vectors described in Proposition 7.3(i). As the $\mathbf{g}$-vectors of the cluster variables are compatible if and only if the corresponding allowable curves are, the same holds for the $\mathbf{g}$-vectors in $\mathcal{G}'$.

Let Z be an E_Λ-rigid indecomposable strongly reduced component in $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ with $\mathbf{g}$-vector $(1-b, a+1, b-a-1)$. Let Z' be the unique strongly reduced component in $\mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$ such that Z, Z' is a component cluster (not E_Λ-rigid). Then $g_\Lambda(Z') \in \mathcal{G}$ is given by $(-b, a, b-a)$ as can be seen from Theorem 6.1. Now, we can basically copy the proof of [R1, Prop.5.1.] to see, that this gives precisely the integer vectors as described in Proposition 7.3 (iii). The $\mathbf{g}$-vector of $\tau_{\Lambda'}Z$ is given by $(-1-b, a-1, b-a+1)$ as can be seen in Theorem 7.2. The statement on the compatibility follows from the symmetry of the graph. □

The following theorem is a consequence of [R3, Theorem 4.4] in the special case of the once-punctured torus. In [R3] this theorem is formulated in terms of *integral quasi-laminations*, i.e. collections of pairwise compatible allowable curves with positive integer weights.

Theorem 7.7. *Every vector in $\mathbb{Z}^3$ is a positive $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of pairwise compatible vectors in $\mathcal{G}$.*

Corollary 7.8. *Let $Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda')$. Then either $Z = Z'$ or $Z = \tau_{\Lambda'}Z'$ for some $Z' \in \mathrm{decIrr}^{\mathrm{s.r.}}(\Lambda)$.*

8. Markov Conjecture

A *Markov triple* is a triple (a, b, c) consisting of positive integers, which satisfy the Diophantine Equation

$$a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 3abc.$$

Given a Markov triple, one can obtain a new Markov triple by mutation. More precisely: let (a, b, c) be a Markov triple and set

$$a' = 3bc - a, \quad b' = 3ac - b, \quad c' = 3ab - c.$$

Then $(a', b, c), (a, b', c)$ and (a, b, c') are Markov triples. Note that the mutation of a Markov triple is an involution. What is more, Markov proved in [M] that any Markov triple can be obtained by repeatedly mutating the Markov triple $(1, 1, 1)$.

Frobenius was the first to claim that every Markov number, by definition a number appearing in a Markov triple, appears uniquely as a largest number of a Markov triple (up to permutation).

Markov Conjecture. [F] *Any Markov triple is uniquely determined by its largest entry (up to permutation).*

Recall, that by Laurent Phenomenon, any cluster variable X can be written as a Laurent polynomial in a given initial cluster (x_1, x_2, x_3), so we have $X = X(x_1, x_2, x_3)$. Using the mutation of cluster variables one can show the following connection between the Markov numbers and the cluster algebra associated with the once-punctured torus $\mathcal{A}_Q$:

Theorem 8.1 ([PZ]). *There is a bijection between the set of all clusters in $\mathcal{A}_Q$ and the set of all Markov triples given by*

$$(X_1, X_2, X_3) \longmapsto (X_1(1,1,1), X_2(1,1,1), X_3(1,1,1)).$$

The bijection between the Markov triples and the cluster variables $\mathcal{M}_Q$ of $\mathcal{A}_Q$ and their description via generic Caldero-Chapoton functions yield a new way of computing Markov numbers: Let $X \in \mathcal{M}_Q$ be a cluster variable, $Z \in \mathrm{decIrr}(\Lambda)$ an E_Λ-rigid indecomposable strongly reduced component with $C_\Lambda(Z) = X$ and $M \in Z$ an E_Λ-rigid string module. Then by definition of the Caldero-Chapoton function the Markov number

$$X(1,1,1) = C_\Lambda(Z)(1,1,1) = \sum_{e \in \mathbb{N}^3} \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_e(M))$$

is given by the sum of Euler characteristics of Grassmannians of subrepresentations of M.

Definition 8.2. Let C be a string given by the sequence $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and $M(C)$ the corresponding string module. We set

$$m(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) := m(M(C)) := \sum_{e \in \mathbb{N}^3} \chi(\mathrm{Gr}_e(M(C))).$$

If $(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ describes an E_Λ-rigid string, then $m(x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ is a Markov number.

Conjecture. *Let $C = (x_1 : a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ and $C' = (x_1' : a_1', a_2', \ldots, a_m')$ be two E_Λ-rigid strings. Then we have*

$$m(C) = m(C')$$

if and only if $n = m$ and $a_i = a_i'$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Remark 8.3. By Proposition 4.2 it is easy to see, that an E_Λ-rigid C string has precisely two neighbours previously denoted by C_1 and C_2 whose Markov numbers are smaller. Hence, if the Conjecture above is true, the Markov Conjecture follows. Therefore, one should try to find a closed formula for computing the Markov number of an E_Λ-rigid string C. In [H] it was proven that in order to compute the Euler characteristics of quiver Grassmannians of string modules we have to count the successor closed subquivers of the diagram of the corresponding string.

Lemma 8.4. *Denote by $F(n)$ the n-th Fibonacci number. We have*

$$m(x_1 : a) = F(2a + 3)$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ and all $x_1 \in \{\alpha_1^\pm, \beta_1^\pm, \gamma_1^\pm\}$.

Proof. We only consider the case $x_1 \in Q_1$, the case $x_1 \in Q_1^-$ is proven similarly. Let C_n be the string of length n given by the sequence

$$c_1c_2c_3c_4 \ldots c_n = \begin{cases} x_1x_2^-x_1x_2^- \ldots x_1x_2^- & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \bmod 2 \\ x_1x_2^-x_1x_2^- \ldots x_1 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \bmod 2. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $m(C_0) = 2 = F(3)$ and $m(C_1) = 3 = F(4)$.

Now assume the claim holds for n and consider $n + 1$. We first assume that n is even. Then the diagram of $C_{n+1} = c_1c_2c_3c_4 \ldots c_{n-1}c_nc_{n+1}$ is given by



We first count the successor closed subquivers containing the basis vector at the green bullet. This number is given by $m(C_n)$: The successor closed subquivers of C_n not containing the blue bullet are also successor closed subquivers of C_{n+1} together with the green bullet. The successor closed subquivers of C_n containing the blue bullet become successor closed subquivers of C_{n+1} only by adding the green bullet. So by induction there are $m(C_n) = F(n+3)$ successor closed subquivers containing the basis vector at the green bullet.

Now we consider successor closed subquivers of C_{n+1} without the green bullet. These cannot contain the blue bullet and thus all that remains are the successor closed subquivers in C_{n-1} which by induction are given by $F(n+2)$. Thus we find $m(C_{n+1}) = F(n+4)$.

If n is odd the proof is similar, where the diagram of C_n is



and one counts again the successor closed subquivers containing the green bullet and the ones without the green bullet. □

Proposition 8.5. *We have*

$$m(a_1, \dots a_i, \dots, a_n) = m(a_1, \dots a_i)m(a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) + m(a_1, \dots a_i - 1)m(a_{i+1} - 1, \dots, a_n)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Proof. We consider the case $n = 2$ and $i = 1$,i.e. (a_1, a_2), since the general case follows similarly.



First counting the successor closed subquivers without the red bullet, gives $m(a_1)m(a_2)$ successor closed subquivers. If the red bullet is contained in a successor closed subquiver, then so are the green bullets. Thus there are $m(a_1-1)m(a_2-1)$ successor closed subquivers containing the red bullet. The propositions follows. □

Corollary 8.6. *Let* $(x_1 : a_1, \dots, a_n)$ *describe a string. Then*

$$m(x_1 : a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

does not depend on x_1.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 8.4 and Proposition 8.5. □

Proposition 8.7. *We have*

$$m(a_1 + \cdots + a_n + \lceil n/2 \rceil - 1) < m(a_1, \dots, a_n) < m(a_1 + \cdots + a_n + n - 1)$$

for all $n \geq 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 8.4 we have $m(a) = F(2a+3)$ and by Proposition 8.5 we have

$$m(a_1, a_2) = m(a_1)m(a_2) + m(a_1-1)m(a_2-1) = F(2a_1+3)F(2a_2+3) + F(2a_1+1)F(2a_2+1).$$

Using the well-known formula

$$F(n+m) = F(n+1)F(m) + F(n)F(m-1)$$

for the Fibonacci numbers, we see that

$$m(a_1, a_2) < F(2a_1+3)F(2a_2+3)+F(2a_1+2)F(2a_2+2) = F(2a_1+2a_2+5) = m(a_1+a_2+1)$$

and

$$m(a_1, a_2) > F(2a_1+2)F(2a_2+2)+F(2a_1+1)F(2a_2+1) = F(2a_1+2a_2+3) = m(a_1+a_2).$$

Now for $n \geq 2$ the propositions follows by induction, where the induction step, is dealt with in the same way as case $n = 2$. □

When most of this work was done we learned of works by J. Propp [Pr], in which he describes an interpretation of Markov numbers as the number of perfect matchings in certain Snake graphs. In a more general note, we want to point out that the combinatorics of diagrams of strings of Λ are very similar to the combinatorics of Snake graphs.

A *Snake graph with a sign function* (G, f) was defined by Canacki and Schiffler [CS]. We will recall a rather informal definition. The undirected graph G is connected and consists of a finite sequence of tiles (i.e. squares) $G_1, \ldots, G_d$ with $d \geq 1$ which are glued along the interior edges $e_1, \ldots e_{d-1}$ according to the sign function $f\colon \{1, 2, \ldots, d-1\} \to \pm 1$. Where the sign function alternates, the snake graph is straight, i.e. the corresponding tiles lie in one column or one row. When the sign function is constant, the snake graph is zigzag, i.e. no three consecutive tiles are straight.

A *string diagram with sign function* (C, f) is a connected, undirected graph C (of an underlying string diagram) consisting of a finite sequence of vertices $v_1, \ldots v_d$ where $d \geq$ which are connected by edges $c_1, \ldots c_{d-1}$ according to the sign function $f\colon \{1, 2, \ldots, d-1\} \to \pm 1$. Where the sign function alternates, the string diagram is zigzag, i.e. the edges alternate between Q_1 and Q_1^-. When the sign function is constant, the string diagram is straight, i.e. all corresponding edges belong to either Q_1 or Q_1^-. The following proposition is proven by an easy induction.

Proposition 8.8. *Let (G, f) be a snake graph and (C, f) a string diagram given by the same sign function f. Then the number of perfect matchings in G is $m(C)$.*

References

[AIR] T. Adachi, O. Iyama, I.Reiten, *τ-tilting theory*, Compos. Math. 150 (2014), no. 3, 415–452.

[BFZ] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. III. Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells*, Duke Math. J. 126 (2005), no. 1, 1–52.

[BuRi] M. C. R. Butler, C. M. Ringel, *Auslander-Reiten sequences with few middle terms and applications to string algebras*, Comm. Algebra 15 (1987), 145–179.

[CB] W. W. Crawley-Boevey, *Maps between representations of zero-relation algebras*, J. Algebra 126 (1989), 259–263.

[CBS] W. Crawley-Boevey, J. Schröer, *Irreducible components of varieties of modules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 553 (2002), 201–220.

[CLS] G. Cerulli Irelli, D. Labardini-Fragoso, J. Schröer, *Caldero-Chapoton algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear), 33pp., arXiv:1208.3310v2.

[CS] I. Canakci, R. Schiffler, *Snake graph calculus and cluster algebras from surfaces*, Preprint (2012), 37pp., arXiv:1209.4617v2.

[DWZ1] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations. I. Mutations*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) 14 (2008), no. 1, 59–119.

[DWZ2] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations II: applications to cluster algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc 23 (2010), no. 3, 749–790.

[F] G. Frobenius, *Über die Markoffschen Zahlen*, Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akadamie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin (1913), 458–487.

[FZ1] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras. I. Foundations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (2002), no. 2, 497–529.

[FZ4] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Cluster algebras IV: Coefficients*, Compositio Mathematica **143** (2007), 112–164.
[G] J. Geuenich, *Quivers with potentials on three vertices*, Master Thesis, University of Bonn (2013).
[GLaS] C. Geiß, D. Labardini-Fragoso, J. Schröer, *The representation type of Jacobian algebras*, Preprint (2013), 67pp., arXiv:1308.0478v2.
[H] N. Haupt, *Euler characteristics and geometric properties of quiver Grassmannians*, Dissertation, University of Bonn (2011).
[La] D. Labardini-Fragoso, *Quivers with potentials associated to triangulated surfaces, Part II: Arc representations*, Preprint (2009), 52pp., arXiv:0909.4100v2.
[M] A. A. Markov, *Sur les formes quadratiques binaires indéfinies*, Math. Ann. 15 (1879), 381–496.
[N] A. Nájera Chávez, *On the c-vectors and* $\mathbf{g}$*-vectors of the Markov cluster algebra*, Preprint (2012), 11pp., arXiv:1112.3578v2.
[P] P.-G. Plamondon, *Generic bases for cluster algebras from the cluster category*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2013), no. 10, 2368–2420.
[Pr] J. Propp, *The combinatorics of frieze patterns and Markoff numbers*, Preprint (2005), 41pp., arXiv:math/0511633v4.
[PZ] X. Peng, J. Zhang, *Cluster algebras and Markoff numbers*, CaMUS 3 (2012), 19–26.
[R1] N. Reading, *Universal geometric coefficients for the once-punctured torus*, Preprint (2012), 26pp., arXiv:1212.1351v3.
[R2] N. Reading, *Universal geometric cluster algebras*, Math. Z. 277 (2014), no. 1–2, 499–547
[R3] N. Reading, *Universal geometric cluster algebras from surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear), 39pp., arXiv:1209.4095v3.

Charlotte Ricke
Mathematisches Institut
Universität Bonn
Endenicher Allee 60
53115 Bonn
Germany
E-mail address: ricke@math.uni-bonn.de